

SELF-SIMILAR LIE ALGEBRAS

LAURENT BARTHOLDI

ABSTRACT. We give a general definition of self-similar Lie algebras, and show that important examples of Lie algebras fall into that class. We give sufficient conditions for a self-similar Lie algebra to be nil, and prove in this manner that the self-similar algebras associated with Grigorchuk's and Gupta-Sidki's torsion groups are nil as well as self-similar. We derive the same results for a class of examples constructed by Petrogradsky, Shestakov and Zelmanov.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since its origins, mankind has been divided into hunters and gatherers. This paper is resolutely of the latter kind, and brings together Caranti *et al.*'s Lie algebras of maximal class [8–11, 22], the self-similar lie algebras associated with self-similar groups from [4], the self-similar associative algebras from [5], and Petrogradsky *et al.*'s nil lie algebras [33, 34, 40]. Contrary to tradition [1, Gen 4.8], we do not proclaim superiority of gatherers; yet we reprove, in what seems a more natural language, the main results of these last papers. In particular, we extend their criteria for growth (Propositions 2.16 and 3.12) and nillity (Corollary 2.9).

The fundamental notion is that of a *self-similar algebra*, see Definition 2.1. If $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie algebra and X be a commutative algebra with Lie algebra of derivations $\mathfrak{Der} X$, their *wreath product* $\mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$ is the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} \otimes X \rtimes \mathfrak{Der} X$. A *self-similar Lie algebra* is then a Lie algebra endowed with a map $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rtimes \mathfrak{Der} X$.

We give in §§2–3 a sufficient condition on a self-similar Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ to be nil, namely for $\text{ad}(x)$ to be a nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$. Our condition is then applied in §4 to all the known examples, and provides uniform proofs of their nillity.

In particular, we show that the Lie algebras in [33, 40] are self-similar, a fact hinted at, but never explicitly stated or used in these papers.

Golod constructed in [13, 14] infinite dimensional, finitely generated nil associative algebras. These algebras have exponential growth — indeed, this is how they are proved to be infinite dimensional — and quite intractable. In searching for more examples, Small asked whether there existed such examples with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (i.e., roughly speaking, polynomial growth). That question was answered positively by Lenagan and Smoktunowicz in [26].

The question may also be asked for Lie algebras; one then has the concrete constructions described in §4. Alas, none of their enveloping algebras seem to be nil, though they may be written as the sum of two nil subalgebras (Proposition 5.3); in particular, all their homogeneous elements are nil.

Date: March 1, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. **20E08** (Groups acting on trees), **16S34** (Group rings), **17B65** (Infinite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras), **20F40** (Group rings), **17B50** (Modular Lie algebras).

Key words and phrases. Groups acting on trees. Lie algebras. Wreath products.

In §5 we construct a natural self-similar associative algebra from a self-similar Lie algebra. In §6 we construct a natural self-similar Lie algebra from a self-similar group acting ‘cyclically’ on an alphabet of prime order. We relate in this manner the examples from §4 to the well-studied Grigorchuk [15] and Gupta-Sidki [19] groups:

Theorem 1.1. *The Lie algebra associated with the Grigorchuk group, after quotienting by its centre, is self-similar, nil, and of maximal class. Its description as a self-similar algebra is given in §4.2.*

The Lie algebra associated with the Gupta-Sidki group is self-similar, nil, and of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Its description as a self-similar algebra is given in §4.1.

We might dare the following

Conjecture 1.2. *If G is a torsion group, then its associated Lie algebra is nil.*

1.1. Preliminaries. All our algebras (Lie or associative) are over a commutative domain $\mathbb{k}$. If $\mathbb{k}$ is of positive characteristic p , a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathbb{k}$ may be *restricted*, in the sense that it admits a semilinear map $x \mapsto x^p$ following the usual axioms of raising-to-the- p th-power, e.g. $(\xi a)^2 = \xi^2 a^2$ and $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + [a, b]$ if $p = 2$. Note that, if $\mathcal{L}$ is centreless, then the p -mapping is unique if it exists. Unless otherwise stated (see e.g. §4.3), we will assume our algebras are restricted. We will also, by convention, say that algebras in characteristic 0 are restricted. See [20] or [21, Chapter V] for an introduction to restricted algebras.

The tensor algebra over a vector space V is written $T(V)$. It is the free associative algebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$. We recall that every Lie algebra has a *universal enveloping algebra*, unique up to isomorphism. In characteristic 0, or for unrestricted Lie algebras, it is the associative algebra

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) = T(\mathcal{L}) / \langle a \otimes b - b \otimes a - [a, b] \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathcal{L} \rangle.$$

If however $\mathcal{L}$ is restricted, it is the associative algebra

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) = T(\mathcal{L}) / \langle a \otimes b - b \otimes a - [a, b] \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathcal{L}, a^{\otimes p} - a^p \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{L} \rangle.$$

No confusion should arise, because it is always the latter algebra that is meant in this text if $\mathbb{k}$ has positive characteristic.

Wreath products of Lie algebras have appeared in various places in the literature [11, 22, 31, 35, 43, 44]. We use them as a fundamental tool in describing and constructing Lie algebras; though they are essentially (at least, in the case of a wreath product with the trivial Lie algebra $\mathbb{k}$) equivalent to the inflation/deflation procedures of [11].

2. SELF-SIMILAR LIE ALGEBRAS

Just as self-similar sets contain many “shrunk” copies of themselves, a self-similar Lie algebra is a Lie algebra containing embedded, “infinitesimal” copies of itself:

Definition 2.1. Let X be a commutative ring with 1, and let $\mathfrak{Der} X$ denote the ring of derivations of X . A Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is *self-similar* if it is endowed with a homomorphism

$$\psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X \otimes \mathcal{L} \rtimes \mathfrak{Der} X =: \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X,$$

in which the derivations act on $X \otimes \mathcal{L}$ by deriving the first coördinate.

If emphasis is needed, X is called the *alphabet* of $\mathcal{L}$, and ψ is its *self-similarity structure*. △

If $\mathbb{k}$ has characteristic p , then $\mathcal{L}$ may be a *restricted* Lie algebra, see §1.1. Note that $\mathfrak{Der} X$ is naturally a restricted Lie algebra, and $X \otimes \mathcal{L}$ too for the p -mapping $(x \otimes a)^p = x^p \otimes a^p$. A restricted algebra is *self-similar* if furthermore ψ preserves the p -mapping.

We note that, under this definition, every Lie algebra is self-similar — though, probably, not interestingly so; indeed the definition does not forbid $\psi = 0$. The next condition makes it clear in which sense an example is interesting or not.

A self-similar Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has a *natural action* on the free associative algebra $T(X)$, defined as follows: given $a \in \mathcal{L}$ and an elementary tensor $v = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \in T(X)$, set $a \cdot v = 0$ if $n = 0$; otherwise, compute $\psi(a) = \sum y_i \otimes a_i + \delta \in \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$, and set

$$a \cdot v = \sum x_1 y_i \otimes (a_i \cdot x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) + (\delta x_1) \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n.$$

Finally extend the action by linearity to all of $T(X)$.

We insist that $\mathcal{L}$ does *not* act by derivations of $T(X)$ qua free associative algebra, but only by endomorphisms of the underlying $\mathbb{k}$ -module.

A self-similar Lie algebra is *faithful* if its natural action is faithful. From now on, we will silently assume that all our Lie algebras satisfy this condition.

There are natural embeddings $X^{\otimes n} \rightarrow X^{\otimes n+1}$, given by $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$; and for all $a \in \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & X^{\otimes n+1} \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ X^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & X^{\otimes n+1} \end{array}$$

We define $R(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} X^{\otimes n}$ under these embeddings, and note that $\mathcal{L}$ acts on $R(X)$.

Self-similar Lie algebras may be *defined* by considering $\mathcal{F}$ a free Lie algebra, and $\psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$ a homomorphism. The self-similar Lie algebra defined by these data is the quotient of $\mathcal{F}$ that acts faithfully on $T(X)$, namely, the quotient of $\mathcal{F}$ by the kernel of the action homomorphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(T(X))$.

We may iterate a self-similarity structure, so as to enlarge the alphabet: by abuse of notation we denote by $\psi^n : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rtimes \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n}) = \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$ the n -fold iterate of the self-similarity structure ψ .

2.1. Matrix recursions. We assume now that X is finite dimensional, with basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$. For $x \in X$, consider the $d \times d$ matrix m_x describing multiplication by x on X . Similarly, for a derivation $\delta \in \mathfrak{Der} X$, consider the $d \times d$ matrix m_δ describing its action on X . Consider now the homomorphism

$$(2) \quad \psi' : \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{k}) \\ a \mapsto \sum m_{y_i} a_i + m_\delta \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \psi(a) = \sum y_i \otimes a_i + \delta.$$

We will see in §5 that ψ' extends to a homomorphism, again written $\psi : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}))$, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{L}$. We may therefore use this convenient matrix notation to define self-similar Lie algebras, and study their related enveloping algebras.

2.2. Gradings. Assume that X is graded, say by an abelian group Λ . Then, as a module, $T(X)$ is $\Lambda[\lambda]$ -graded, where the degree of $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ is $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x_i) \lambda^{i-1}$ for homogeneous $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Here λ is a formal parameter, called the *dilation* of the grading; though we sometimes force it to take a value in $\mathbb{R}$.

112 If $\mathcal{L}$ is both a graded Lie algebra and a self-similar Lie algebra, its grading
 113 and self-similarity structures are compatible if, for homogeneous $a \in \mathcal{L}$, one has
 114 $\deg(a) = \deg(y_i) + \lambda \deg(a_i) = \deg(\delta)$ for all i , where $\psi(a) = \sum y_i \otimes a_i + \delta$. In
 115 other words, ψ is a degree-preserving map.

116 We prefer to grade the ring X negatively, so that $\mathcal{L}$, acting by derivations, is
 117 graded in positive degree. This convention is of course arbitrary.

118 **2.3. The full self-similar algebra.** There is a maximal self-similar algebra acting
 119 faithfully on $T(X)$ and $R(X)$, which we denote $\mathcal{W}(X)$. It is the set of derivations
 120 of $T(X)$ such that (1) commutes. As a vector space,

$$\mathcal{W}(X) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} X^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathfrak{Der} X.$$

121 Its self-similarity structure is defined by

$$\psi(a_0, a_1, \dots) = \left(\sum x_1 \otimes b_1, \sum x_2 \otimes b_2, \dots \right) + a_0$$

122 where $a_i = \sum x_i \otimes b_i \in X \otimes \mathcal{W}(X)$ for all $i \geq 1$. It is maximal in the sense that
 123 every self-similar Lie algebra with alphabet X is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(X)$. Note also
 124 that $\mathcal{W}(X)$ is restricted.

The Lie bracket and p -mapping on $\mathcal{W}(X)$ can be described explicitly as follows.
 Given $a = x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m \otimes \delta$ and $b = y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n \otimes \epsilon$, we have

$$[a, b] = \begin{cases} x_1 y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m y_m \otimes \delta y_{m+1} \otimes \dots \otimes y_n & \text{if } m < n, \\ x_1 y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m y_m \otimes [\delta, \epsilon] & \text{if } m = n, \\ -x_1 y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n y_n \otimes \epsilon x_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_m & \text{if } m > n, \end{cases}$$

and $a^p = x_1^p \otimes \dots \otimes x_m^p \otimes \delta^p$.

125 We note that, if X is a Λ -graded ring, then $\mathcal{W}(X)$ is a $\Lambda[\lambda]$ -graded self-similar
 126 Lie algebra; for homogeneous $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\delta \in \mathfrak{Der} X$, we set

$$\deg(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes \delta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x_i) \lambda^{i-1} + \lambda^n \deg(\delta).$$

127 We shall consider, here, subalgebras of $\mathcal{W}(X)$ that satisfy a finiteness condition.
 128 The most important is the following:

129 **Definition 2.2.** An element $a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ is *finite state* if there exists a finite-
 130 dimensional subspace S of $\mathcal{W}(X)$, containing a , such that the self-similarity struc-
 131 ture $\psi : \mathcal{W}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(X) \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$ restricts to a map $S \rightarrow S \otimes X \oplus \mathfrak{Der} X$. $\triangle$

132 More generally, define a self-map $\hat{\psi}$ on subsets of $\mathcal{W}(X)$, by

$$\hat{\psi}(V) = \bigcap \{W \mid W \leq \mathcal{W}(X) \text{ with } \psi(V) \leq X \otimes W \oplus \mathfrak{Der} X\}.$$

133 Then $a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ is finite state if and only if $\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{\psi}^n(\mathbb{k}a)$ is finite-dimensional.

134 If X is finite dimensional, a finite state element may be described by a finite
 135 amount of data in $\mathbb{k}$, as follows. Choose a basis (e_i) of S , and write a as well as the
 136 coördinates of $\psi(e_i)$ in that basis, for all i .

2.4. **Hausdorff dimension.** Consider a self-similar Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, with self-similarity structure $\psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$. As noted in §2.3, $\mathcal{L}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(X)$; and both act on $X^{\otimes n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We wish to measure “how much of $\mathcal{W}(X)$ ” is “filled in” by $\mathcal{L}$. We essentially copy, and translate to Lie algebras, the definitions from [5, §3.2].

Let $\mathcal{L}_n$, respectively $\mathcal{W}(X)_n$, denote the image of $\mathcal{L}$, respectively $\mathcal{W}(X)$, in $\mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$. We compute

$$\dim(\mathcal{W}(X)_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\dim X)^i \dim(\mathfrak{Der} X) = \frac{\dim(X)^n - 1}{\dim X - 1} \dim(\mathfrak{Der} X),$$

and define the *Hausdorff dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ by

$$\text{Hdim}(\mathcal{L}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim \mathcal{L}_n}{\dim \mathcal{W}(X)_n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim \mathcal{L}_n}{\dim(X)^n} \frac{\dim X - 1}{\dim \mathfrak{Der} X}.$$

Furthermore, there may exist a subalgebra $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathfrak{Der} X$ such that $\psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathcal{P}$; our typical examples will have the form $X = \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^d)$, and $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{k}d/dx$ a one-dimensional Lie algebra; in that case, $\mathcal{L}$ is called an *algebra of special derivations*. We then define the *relative Hausdorff dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ by

$$\text{Hdim}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim \mathcal{L}_n}{\dim(X)^n} \frac{\dim X - 1}{\dim \mathcal{P}}.$$

2.5. **Bounded Lie algebras.** We now define a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(X)$, important because contains many interesting examples, yet gives control on the nility of the algebra’s p -mapping. We suppose throughout this § that $\mathbb{k}$ is a ring of characteristic p , so that $\mathcal{W}(X)$ is a restricted Lie algebra.

We suppose that X is an *augmented* algebra: there is a homomorphism $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ with kernel ϖ . This gives a splitting $X^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow X^{\otimes n}$ of (1), given by $v \otimes x_{n+1} \mapsto \varepsilon(x_{n+1})v$. The algebra $X^{\otimes n}$ also admits an augmentation ideal,

$$\varpi_n = \ker(\varepsilon \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon) = \sum X \otimes \cdots \otimes \varpi \otimes \cdots \otimes X.$$

The union of the ϖ_n defines an augmentation ideal again written ϖ in $R(X)$.

There is a natural action of $R(X)$ on $\mathcal{W}(X)$: given $a = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_m \in R(X)$ and $b = y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n \otimes \delta \in \mathcal{W}(X)$, first replace a by $a \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ with enough 1’s so that $m \geq n$; then set

$$a \cdot b = \varepsilon(y_{n+1}) \cdots \varepsilon(y_m) x_1 y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n y_n \otimes \delta.$$

Definition 2.3. An element $a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ is *bounded* if there exists a constant m such that $\varpi^m a = 0$. Writing $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$, this means $\varpi^m a_i = 0$ for all i . The set of bounded elements is written $M(X)$.

The *bounded norm* $\|a\|$ of a is then the minimal such m . △

The following statement is inspired by [40, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2.4. *The set $M = M(X)$ of bounded elements forms a restricted Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(X)$.*

More precisely, the bounded norm of $[a, b]$ is at most $\max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\} + 1$, and $\|a^p\| \leq \|a\| + p - 1$.

Proof. If $\varpi^m f = 0$ and $\delta \in \mathfrak{Der} X$, then $\varpi^{m+1} \delta f = 0$. It follows that, for $a \in M$ of bounded norm m and $b \in M$ of bounded norm n , the bounded norm of $[a, b]$ is at most $\max\{m, n\} + 1$.

Consider next $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots) \in M$, of bounded norm m . Write each $a_i = \sum f_i \otimes \delta_i$. Then $a_i^p = \sum f_i^p \otimes \delta_i^p + \text{commutators of weight } p$; now $\varpi^m f_i^p \otimes \delta_i^p = 0$ and, by the first paragraph, commutators are annihilated by ϖ^{m+p-1} . $\square$

We now suppose for simplicity that the alphabet has the form $X = \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^p)$. Its augmentation ideal is $\varpi = xX$, and satisfies $\varpi^p = 0$. We seek conditions on elements $a \in M(X)$ that ensure that they are *nil*, that is, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{p^n} = (((a^p)^p) \dots)^p = 0$. The standard derivation d/dx of X is written ∂_x .

Definition 2.5. An element $a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ is ℓ -*evanescent*, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, if when we write $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$, each a_i has the form $\sum b_i \otimes c_i \otimes \partial_x$ with $b_i \in X^{\otimes \max\{i-\ell, 0\}}$, $c_i \in X^{\otimes \min\{i, \ell\}}$, and $\deg(c_i) < (p-1)\ell$.

An element is *evanescent* if it is ℓ -evanescent for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. $\triangle$

In words, a is ℓ -evanescent if, in all coördinates a_i of a , the derivation is ∂_x and the maximal degree is *never* reached in each of the last ℓ alphabet variables.

Lemma 2.6. *The set of ℓ -evanescent elements forms a restricted Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(X)$.*

Proof. Consider ℓ -evanescent elements $a, b \in \mathcal{W}(X)$, and without loss of generality assume $a = x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m \otimes \partial_x$, $b = y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_n \otimes \partial_x$ and $m \leq n$. If $m = n$, then $[a, b] = 0$, while if $m < n$ then $[a, b] = x_1 y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_x y_{m+1} \otimes \dots \otimes y_n \otimes \partial_x$. If $n - m > \ell$ then there is nothing to do, while if $n - m \leq \ell$ then $\deg(\partial_x y_{m+1}) < p - 1$ so the total degree in the last ℓ alphabet variables of $[a, b]$ is $< (p-1)\ell$.

Finally, the p -mapping is trivial on elementary tensors $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes \partial_x$ because $\partial_x^p = 0$ in $\mathfrak{Der} X$. $\square$

The following statement is inspired by [40, Lemma 2].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $a \in M(X)$ be evanescent. Then there exists $s \geq 1$ so that $a^{p^s} \in \varpi^2 \mathcal{W}(X)$.*

Proof. We put an $\mathbb{R}$ -grading on $\mathcal{W}(X)$, by using the natural $\{1-p, \dots, 0\}$ -grading of X and choosing for λ the largest positive root of $f(\lambda) = \lambda^{\ell+1} - p\lambda^\ell + \lambda - 1$. We note that $f(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $f(0) = -1 < 0$, so f has one or three positive roots. Next, $f'(0) > 0$ and f' has at most two positive roots in $\mathbb{R}_+$, while $f(1) = 1 - p \leq f(0)$, so f has at most one extremum in $(1, \infty)$. Finally, $f(p) = p - 1 > 0$, so f has a unique zero in $(1, p)$ and we deduce $\lambda \in (1, p)$.

Consider a homogeneous component $h = x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes \partial_x$ of a . Because $\deg(\partial_x) = 1$ and $-\deg(x_i) \leq p - 1$ for all i and $-\deg(x_m) \leq p - 2$ for some $m \in \{n - \ell + 1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(h) &\geq \lambda^n - (p-1)(1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^{n-1}) + \lambda^m \\ &\geq \lambda^n - (p-1) \frac{\lambda^n - 1}{\lambda - 1} + \lambda^{n-\ell} = \frac{\lambda^{n-\ell}}{\lambda - 1} f(\lambda) + \frac{p-1}{\lambda - 1} \\ &= \frac{p-1}{\lambda - 1} > 1; \end{aligned}$$

so every homogeneous component of a^{p^s} has degree $\geq p^s$.

We now use the assumption $a \in M(X)$, say $\|a\| = m$. Then $\|a^{p^s}\| \leq m + (p-1)s$, by Lemma 2.4. Write $a^{p^s} = (b_0, b_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{W}(X)$; we therefore have $\varpi_i^{m+(p-1)s} b_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Consider some $j \leq i$, and assume that b_i does not belong to $\varpi_j^2 X^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathfrak{Der} X$. Then $\varpi_i^{(p-1)j-1} b_i \neq 0$, and this can only happen if $(p-1)j - 1 < m + (p-1)s$. In

other words, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_i \in \varpi_j^2 \otimes X^{\otimes i-j}$ for all $i \geq j$.

Consider then the b_i with $i < j$. The degree of a homogeneous component in such a b_i is at most λ^j ; on the other hand, since it is a homogeneous component of a^{p^s} , it has degree at least p^s . Now the inequalities

$$p^s \leq \lambda^j, \quad (p-1)j-1 < m + (p-1)s$$

cannot simultaneously be satisfied for arbitrarily large s , because $\lambda < p$. It follows that, at least for s large enough, $b_i = 0$ for all $i < j$, and therefore $a^{p^s} \in \varpi_j^2 M(X)$. $\square$

To make this text self-contained, we reproduce almost *verbatim* the following statement by Shestakov and Zelmanov:

Lemma 2.8 ([40, Lemma 5]). *Assume that $\varpi \subset X$ is nilpotent. Then the associative subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}} T(X)$ generated by $\varpi^2 \mathcal{W}(X)$ is locally nilpotent.*

Proof. By assumption $X^d = 0$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider a finite collection of elements $a_i = x'_i x''_i b_i \in \varpi^2 \mathcal{W}(X)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, with $x'_i, x''_i \in \varpi$ and $b_i \in \mathcal{W}(X)$. Let A be the subalgebra of ϖ generated by $x'_1, x''_1, \dots, x'_r, x''_r$. From $(x'_i)^d = (x''_i)^d = 0$ follows $A^s = 0$ with $s = 2r(d-1) + 1$. Now $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_s} = \sum y_1 \cdots y_{2s} d_{j_1} \cdots d_{j_q}$, with $q \leq s$ and the y_j obtained from the x'_i, x''_i by $(q-s)$ -fold application of the derivations b_k . Therefore $2s - q \geq s$ of the y_j belong to $\{x'_1, x''_1, \dots, x'_r, x''_r\}$, so $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_s} = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(X)$ that is generated by bounded, ℓ -evanescent elements for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{L}$ is nil.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmata 2.4 and 2.6 that every element $a \in \mathcal{L}$ is bounded and ℓ -evanescent; and then from Lemmata 2.7 and 2.8 that a is nil. $\square$

2.6. Growth and contraction. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote an algebra, not necessarily associative. For a finite dimensional subspace $S \leq \mathcal{A}$, let S^n denote the span in $\mathcal{A}$ of n -fold products of elements of S ; if $p|n$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a restricted Lie algebra in characteristic p , then S^n also contains the p th powers of elements of $S^{n/p}$. Define

$$\text{GKdim}(\mathcal{A}, S) = \limsup \frac{\log \dim(S^n)}{\log n}, \quad \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{S \leq \mathcal{A}} \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{A}, S),$$

the (upper) *Gelfand-Kirillov dimension* of $\mathcal{A}$. Note that if $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by the finite dimensional subspace S , then $\text{GKdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{A}, S)$. We give here conditions on a self-similar Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ so that it has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a self-similar Lie algebra, with self-similarity structure $\psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$. It is *contracting* if there exists a finite dimensional subspace $N \leq \mathcal{L}$ with the following property: for every $a \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq n_0$, we have $\psi^n(a) \in X^{\otimes n} \otimes N \oplus \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$.

The minimal such N , if it exists, is called the *nucleus* of $\mathcal{L}$. $\triangle$

In words, the nucleus is the minimal subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{L}$, if one applies often enough the map ψ to it and its coördinates (discarding the term in $\mathfrak{Der} X$), one obtains only elements of N .

Note that elements of a contracting self-similar algebra are finite-state. The following test is useful in practice to prove that an algebra is contracting, and leads to a simple algorithm:

Lemma 2.11. *Let the self-similar Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ be generated by the finite dimensional subspace S , and consider a finite dimensional subspace $N \leq \mathcal{L}$. Then N contains the nucleus of $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if there exist $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi^m(S + N + [N, S]) \leq X^{\otimes m} \otimes N \oplus \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes m})$ and (for restricted algebras) $\psi^n((N + S)^p) \leq X^{\otimes n} \otimes N \oplus \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$ for all $m \geq m_0, n \geq n_0$.*

Proof. Note first that, if N contains the nucleus N_0 , then coördinates of $\psi^m([N + S, S])$ and $\psi^n((N + S)^p)$ will be contained in N_0 , so *a fortiori* in N for all sufficiently large m, n .

Conversely, consider $a \in \mathcal{L}$, say a product of ℓ elements of S . Then applying $\ell - 1$ times the map ψ^m , we get $\psi^{m(\ell-1)}(a) \in X^{\otimes m(\ell-1)} \otimes N + \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes m(\ell-1)})$, so N contains the nucleus. $\square$

Data: A generating set S

Result: The nucleus N

$N \leftarrow 0$

repeat

262 $N' \leftarrow N$
 $B \leftarrow N + S + [N, S]$
 $N \leftarrow \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq i} \hat{\psi}^j(B)$
until $N = N'$

Definition 2.12. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a self-similar algebra; assume that the alphabet X admits an augmentation $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, and denote by π the projection $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Der} X$. We say $\mathcal{L}$ is *recurrent* if the $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\psi : \ker(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is onto. $\triangle$

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finitely generated, contracting and recurrent self-similar Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by its nucleus.*

Proof. Let S be a generating finite dimensional subspace, and let N denote the nucleus of $\mathcal{L}$. Because S is finite dimensional, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi^n(S) \leq X^{\otimes n} \otimes N \oplus \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the subalgebra generated by N ; then, for every $a \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\psi^n(a) \in \mathcal{M} \wr \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$; so, because $\mathcal{L}$ is recurrent, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a contracting, finitely generated self-similar Lie algebra, with X finite dimensional. Then there exists a finite dimensional generating subspace N of $\mathcal{L}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L} \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} X^{\otimes n} \otimes N.$$

Proof. Let N_0 be the nucleus of $\mathcal{L}$, and let S generate $\mathcal{L}$. Enlarge S , keeping it finite dimensional, so that $\pi(N) = \pi(\mathcal{L}) \leq \mathfrak{Der} X$. Set finally $N = N_0 + \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{\psi}^n(S)$.

By the definition of nucleus, $\mathcal{L}$ is contained in $\sum_{n \geq 0} X^{\otimes n} \otimes N \oplus \mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$. Now using the fact that $\pi(N) = \pi(\mathcal{L})$, we can eliminate the $\mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$ terms while still staying in $X^{\otimes n} \otimes N$. $\square$

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a contracting, $\mathbb{R}_+$ -graded self-similar Lie algebra, with dilation λ , and let N be as in Lemma 2.14. Consider a homogeneous $a \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\deg(a) = d$. Then $a \in \sum_{j=0}^n X^{\otimes j} \otimes N$ with*

$$(3) \quad n \geq \log(d/m) / \log |\lambda| \text{ where } m = \max_{n \in N} \deg(n).$$

If furthermore $\lambda > 1$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by finitely many positive-degree elements, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that every $a \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad n \leq \log(d/\epsilon) / \log \lambda.$$

285 *Proof.* Consider $a \in X^{\otimes n} \otimes N \cap \mathcal{L}$. Then $\deg(a) \leq \lambda^n \max \deg(N)$, and this
 286 proves (3).

287 Now, if $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by finitely many positive-degree elements, then there ex-
 288 ists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all elements of $X^{\otimes m} \otimes N \cap \mathcal{L}$ have degree $> -\min_{x \in X} \deg(x)/(\lambda -$
 289 $1)$. Let then $\epsilon > 0$ be such that all these elements have degree $\geq B := \lambda^m \epsilon -$
 290 $\min_{x \in X} \deg(x)/(\lambda - 1)$.

291 We return to our a , which we write as $a = \sum f \otimes b$, with $f \in X^{\otimes m-n}$ and
 292 $b \in X^{\otimes m} \cap \mathcal{L}$. Then every homogeneous summand of b has degree at least B , while
 293 every homogeneous summand of f has degree at least $\min_{x \in X} \deg(x)(1 + \lambda + \cdots +$
 294 $\lambda^{m-n-1})$; so every homogeneous summand of a has degree at least $\epsilon \lambda^n$. $\square$

295 **Proposition 2.16.** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a contracting, $\mathbb{R}_+$ -graded self-similar Lie algebra,*
 296 *with dilation $\lambda > 1$, that is generated by finitely many positive-degree elements.*

297 *Then $\mathcal{L}$ has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension; more precisely,*

$$\text{GKdim}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \frac{\log(\dim X)}{\log \lambda}.$$

298 *Proof.* Let $\mathcal{L}_d$ denote the span of homogeneous elements in $\mathcal{L}$ of degree $\leq d$.
 299 Consider $a \in \mathcal{L}_d$, and, up to expressing a as a sum, assume $a = f \otimes b$ with
 300 $f \in X^{\otimes n}$ and $b \in N$. By (4) we have $n \leq \log_\lambda(d/\epsilon)$, so

$$\dim \mathcal{L}_d \leq \sum_{j=0}^n (\dim X)^j \dim N \lesssim d^{\log(\dim X)/\log \lambda}. \quad \square$$

301 3. (WEAKLY) BRANCHED LIE ALGEBRAS

302 We will concentrate here on some conditions on a self-similar Lie algebra that
 303 have consequences on its algebraic structure, and in particular on its possible quo-
 304 tients. We impose, in this §, restrictions that will be satisfied by all our examples:
 305 the alphabet X has the form $\mathbb{k}[x]/(x^d)$, and the image of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathfrak{Der} X$ is precisely
 306 $\mathbb{k}\partial_x$. Let $\theta = x^{d-1}$ denote the top-degree element of X .

307 We consider self-similar Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}$ with self-similarity structure $\psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow$
 308 $\mathcal{L} \wr \mathbb{k}\partial_x$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\partial_x$ denote the natural projection. We recall that $\mathcal{L}$ acts
 309 on $X^{\otimes n}$ for all n . We denote by $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{L}$; then
 310 $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ also acts on $X^{\otimes n}$, and acts on $\mathcal{L}$ by derivations. If $u = u_1 \cdots u_n \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$
 311 and $a \in \mathcal{L}$, we write $[[u, a]] = [u_1, [u_2, \dots, [u_n, a] \cdots]]$ for this action.

312 We also identify $\mathcal{L}$ with $\psi(\mathcal{L})$; so as to write, e.g., ' $\theta \otimes a \in \mathcal{L}$ ' when we mean
 313 ' $\theta \otimes a \in \psi(\mathcal{L})$ '.

314 **Definition 3.1.** The self-similar algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is *transitive* if for all $v \in X^{\otimes n}$ there
 315 exists $u \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ with $u \cdot (\theta^{\otimes n}) = v$. $\triangle$

316 We note immediately that, if $\mathcal{L}$ is transitive, then it is infinite-dimensional.

317 **Lemma 3.2.** *If $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \theta = X$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is recurrent, then $\mathcal{L}$ is transitive.*

318 *Proof.* We proceed by induction on n , the case $n = 0$ being obvious. Write $M =$
 319 $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \theta^{\otimes n+1}$. Because $\mathcal{L}$ is recurrent and by the inductive hypothesis, $\mathbb{U}(\ker \pi) \cdot$
 320 $\theta^{\otimes n+1} = \theta \otimes X^{\otimes n}$. Then, by hypothesis, there exists in $\mathcal{L}$ an element a of the
 321 form $\partial_x + \sum x_i \otimes a_i$; so $a \cdot \theta^{\otimes n+1} \in x^{d-2} \otimes \theta^{\otimes n} + \theta \otimes X^{\otimes n}$. Because M contains
 322 $\theta \otimes X^{\otimes n}$, it also contains $x^{d-2} \otimes \theta^{\otimes n}$, and again acting with $\mathbb{U}(\ker \pi)$ it contains
 323 $x^{d-2} \otimes X^{\otimes n}$. Continuing in this manner, it contains $x^i \otimes X^{\otimes n}$ for all i , and therefore
 324 equals $X^{\otimes n+1}$. $\square$

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a recurrent, transitive, self-similar Lie algebra. It is
 weakly branched if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a non-zero $a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ such
 that $\theta^{\otimes n} \otimes a \in \mathcal{L}$;
 branched if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the ideal K_n generated by all $\theta^{\otimes n} \otimes a \in \mathcal{L}$ has
 finite codimension in $\mathcal{L}$;
 regularly weakly branched if there exists a non-trivial ideal $\mathcal{K} \triangleleft \mathcal{L}$ such that
 $X \otimes \mathcal{K} \leq \psi(\mathcal{K})$;
 regularly branched if furthermore there exists such a $\mathcal{K}$ of finite codimension
 in $\mathcal{L}$.

In the last two cases, we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is regularly [weakly] branched over $\mathcal{K}$. $\triangle$

The following immediately follows from the definitions:

Lemma 3.4. “Regularly branched” implies “Regularly weakly branched” and “branched”,
 and each of these implies “weakly branched”.

Note, as a partial converse, that if $\mathcal{L}$ is weakly branched, then

$$\{a \in \mathcal{L} \mid \theta^{\otimes n} \otimes a \leq \mathcal{L}\} = \{a \in \mathcal{L} \mid X^{\otimes n} \otimes a \leq \mathcal{L}\} =: \mathcal{K}_n$$

is a non-trivial ideal in $\mathcal{L}$:

Lemma 3.5. If $\mathcal{L}$ is weakly branched, then for every $v \in X^{\otimes n}$ there exists a
 non-zero $a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ with $v \otimes a \in \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Let $0 \neq a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ be such that $\theta^{\otimes n} \otimes a \in \mathcal{L}$; let $\mathcal{K}_n$ denote the ideal
 generated by a . Because $\mathcal{L}$ is recurrent, $\theta^{\otimes n} \otimes c \in \mathcal{L}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{K}$. Because $\mathcal{L}$ is
 transitive, there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ with $u \cdot \theta^{\otimes n} = v$. Consider then $[[u, \theta^{\otimes n} \otimes a]]$. It
 is of the form $v \otimes a + \sum v' \otimes a'$, for some $a' \in \mathcal{K}$ and $v' > v$ in reverse lexicographic
 ordering. By induction on v in that ordering, $v \otimes a$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}$. $\square$

We are now ready to deduce some structural properties of (weakly) branched Lie
 algebras:

Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a weakly branched Lie algebra. Then the centralizer
 of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{W}(X)$ is trivial. In particular, $\mathcal{L}$ has trivial centre.

Proof. Consider a non-zero $a \in \mathcal{W}(X)$. There then exists $v \in X^{\otimes n}$ such that
 $a \cdot v \neq 0$; suppose that n is minimal for that property. Let $i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$
 be maximal such that $1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \varpi^i(a \cdot v) \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is weakly branched, there
 exists a non-zero element $b = 1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x^{i+1} \otimes b' \in \mathcal{L}$; and because $b \neq 0$, there
 exists $w \in X^{\otimes m}$ with $b \cdot w \neq 0$. Consider $c = [a, b]$; the claim is that $c \neq 0$.
 Indeed $c \cdot (v \otimes w) = a \cdot b \cdot (v \otimes w) - b \cdot a \cdot (vw)$; and $b \cdot (v \otimes w) = 0$, while
 $b \cdot a \cdot (v \otimes w) = (a \cdot v) \otimes (b \cdot w) \neq 0$. $\square$

Recall that a (non-necessarily associative) algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is PI (“Polynomial Identity”) if there exists a non-zero polynomial expression $\Psi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ in non-associative,
 non-commutative indeterminates such that $\Psi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a weakly branched Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is not PI.

Proof. There should exist a purely Lie-theoretical proof of this fact, but it is shorter
 to note that if $\mathcal{L}$ is weakly branched, then its associative envelope $\mathcal{A}$ (see §5) is
 weakly branched in the sense of [5, §3.1.6]. Weakly branched associative algebras
 satisfy no polynomial identity by [5, Theorem 3.10], so the same must hold for any
 Lie subalgebra that generates $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Recall that a Lie algebra is *just infinite* if it is infinite dimensional, but all its proper quotients are finite dimensional.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a regularly branched Lie algebra, with branching ideal $\mathcal{K}$. If furthermore $\mathcal{K}/[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]$ is finite-dimensional, then $\mathcal{L}$ is just infinite.*

(Note that the proposition's conditions are clearly necessary; otherwise, $\mathcal{L}/[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]$ would itself be a finite-dimensional proper quotient).

Proof. Consider a non-zero ideal $\mathcal{I} \triangleleft \mathcal{L}$. Without loss of generality, $\mathcal{I} = \langle a \rangle$ is principal. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal such that $a \cdot X^{\otimes n} \neq 0$; so $a = x^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_{n-1}} \otimes \partial_x +$ higher-order terms. Because $\mathcal{L}$ is transitive, we can derive $i_1 + \cdots + i_{n-1}$ times a , by an element $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$, to obtain $b = [[u, a]] \in \mathcal{I}$ of the form

$$b = 1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \partial_x + 1^{\otimes n} \otimes b' + \text{higher-order terms.}$$

Consider now $c = \theta^n \otimes k \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $[b, c] = \theta^{n-1} \otimes x^{d-2} \otimes k + \theta^n \otimes [b', k] +$ higher-order terms.

Consider next $d = 1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x \otimes \ell \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $[[b, c], d] = \theta^n \otimes [k, \ell] \in \mathcal{I}$.

It follows that $\mathcal{I}$ contains $X^{\otimes n} \otimes [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}]$, and therefore that $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{I}$ has finite dimension. $\square$

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finitely generated, nil, regularly branched Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is just infinite.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the branching ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is finitely generated and $\mathcal{K}$ has finite index in $\mathcal{L}$, it is also finitely generated [25]. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is nil, the abelianization of $\mathcal{K}$ is finite and we may apply Proposition 3.8. $\square$

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a regularly branched Lie algebra. Then there does not exist a bound on its nility.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ contains a non-trivial nil element, say $a \in \mathcal{K}$ with $a^{p^m} = 0$ but $a^{p^{m-1}} \neq 0$. Let $b \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that $b = 1^{\otimes \ell} \otimes \partial_x +$ higher terms. Construct then the following sequence of elements: $a_0 = a$, and $a_{n+1} = 1^{\otimes \ell-1} \otimes \theta \otimes a_n + b$. By induction, the element a_n has nility exactly p^{m+n} . $\square$

The following is essentially [5, Proposition 3.5]:

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a regularly branched Lie algebra. Then its Hausdorff dimension is a rational number in $(0, 1]$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is regularly branched over $\mathcal{K}$. As in §2.4, let $\mathcal{L}_n$ denote the image of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$, with quotient map $\pi_n : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$. Let M be large enough so that $\mathcal{L}/\psi^{-1}(X \otimes \mathcal{K})$ maps isomorphically onto its image in $\mathcal{L}_n$. We have, for all $n \geq M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{L}_n &= \dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) + \dim \pi_n(\mathcal{K}) \\ &= \dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) + \dim(\psi\mathcal{K}/(X \otimes \mathcal{K})) + \dim(X) \dim \pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{K}) \\ &= (1 - \dim X) \dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) + \dim(\psi\mathcal{K}/(X \otimes \mathcal{K})) + \dim X \dim \mathcal{L}_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We write $\dim \mathcal{L}_n = \alpha \dim(X)^n + \beta$, for some α, β to be determined; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \dim(X)^n + \beta &= (1 - \dim X) \dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) + \dim(\psi\mathcal{K}/(X \otimes \mathcal{K})) \\ &\quad + \dim(X)(\alpha \dim(X)^{n-1} + \beta), \end{aligned}$$

so $\beta = \dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) - \dim(\psi\mathcal{K}/(X \otimes \mathcal{K})) / (\dim X - 1)$. Then set $\alpha = (\dim \mathcal{L}_M - \beta) / \dim(X)^M$. We have solved the recurrence for $\dim \mathcal{L}_n$, and $\alpha > 0$ because $\mathcal{L}_n$ has unbounded dimension, since $\mathcal{L}$ is infinite-dimensional.

Now it suffices to note that $\text{Hdim}(\mathcal{L}) = \alpha$ to obtain $\text{Hdim}(\mathcal{L}) > 0$. Furthermore only linear equations with integer coefficients were involved, so $\text{Hdim}(\mathcal{L})$ is rational. $\square$

Note that, if $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathcal{P}$ is the self-similarity structure, then $\text{Hdim}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L})$ is also positive and rational.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a regularly weakly branched self-similar Lie algebra. Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is graded, with dilation $\lambda > 1$. Then the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ is at least $\log(\dim X) / \log \lambda$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is weakly branched over $\mathcal{K}$; consider a non-zero $a \in \mathcal{K}$. Let ϵ be the degree of a . Then $\mathcal{L}$ contains for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the subspace $X^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{K}a$; and the maximal degree of these elements is $\lambda^n \epsilon$. Let $\mathcal{L}_d$ denote the span of elements of degree $\leq d$; it follows that $\dim \mathcal{L}_d \geq (\dim X)^n$ whenever $\lambda^n \epsilon \leq d$, and therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{L}_d \geq (d/\epsilon)^{\log(\dim X) / \log \lambda}. \quad \square$$

4. EXAMPLES

We begin by two examples of Lie algebras, inspired and related to group-theoretical constructions. The links between the groups and the Lie algebras will be explored in §6. We then phrase in our language of self-similar algebras an example by Petrogradsky, later generalized by Shestakov and Zelmanov.

4.1. The Gupta-Sidki Lie algebra. Inspired by the self-similarity structure (11), we consider $X = \mathbb{F}_3[x]/(x^3)$ and a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\text{GS}} = \mathcal{L}$ generated by a, t with self-similarity structure

$$(5) \quad \psi : \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \text{Der } X \\ a \mapsto \partial_x \\ t \mapsto x \otimes a + x^2 \otimes t. \end{cases}$$

We put a grading on $\mathcal{L}$ such that the generators a, t are homogeneous. The ring X is $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, with $\deg(x) = -1$ so $\deg(a) = 1$, and

$$\deg(t) = -2 + \lambda \deg(t) = -1 + \lambda \deg(a),$$

so $(1 - \lambda)^2 = 2$ and $\deg(t) = \sqrt{2}$; the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 1)$ -graded. We repeat the construction using our matrix notation. For that purpose, we take divided powers $\{1, t, t^2/2 = -t^2\}$ as basis of X ; the self-similarity structure is then

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ -t & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is regularly branched on its ideal $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ of codimension 2.*

Proof. First, $\mathcal{L}$ is recurrent: indeed $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\psi[a, t] = a$ and $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\psi[a, [a, t]] = t$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{L}$ is transitive.

The ideal $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ is generated by $c = [a, t]$; to prove that $\mathcal{L}$ is branched on $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$, it suffices to exhibit $c' \in [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ with $\psi(c') = x^2 \otimes c$. A direct calculation shows that $c' = [[a, t], t]$ will do.

Clearly $\mathcal{L}/[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ is the commutative algebra $\mathbb{K}^2$ generated by a, t . $\square$

432 **Theorem 4.2.** *The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is nil, of unbounded nility, but not nilpotent.*

433 *Proof.* The ideal $\langle t \rangle$ in $\mathcal{L}$ has codimension 1; and it is generated by $t, [t, a], [t, a, a]$.
 434 Each of these elements is bounded and 1-evanescent; Corollary 2.9 applies. Then
 435 $\mathcal{L}$ itself is nil, because $a^3a = 0$.

436 That the nility in unbounded follows from Proposition 3.10. Clearly $\mathcal{L}$ is not
 437 nilpotent, since by Proposition 3.7 it is not even PI. $\square$

438 **Proposition 4.3.** *The relative Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{L}$, with $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{k}\partial_x$, is*

$$\text{Hdim}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{4}{9}.$$

439 *Proof.* We follow Proposition 3.11. We may take $M = 2$, and readily compute
 440 $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) = 2 = \dim(\mathcal{K}/(X \otimes \mathcal{K}))$, the latter having basis $\{[a, t], [a, [a, t]]\}$.
 441 Letting $\mathcal{L}_n$ denote the image of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$, we find $\dim(\mathcal{L}_2) = 3$. This gives

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}_n) = 2 \cdot 3^{n-2} + 1,$$

442 and the claimed result. $\square$

443 **Lemma 4.4.** *Let algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is contracting.*

444 *Proof.* Its nucleus is $\mathbb{k}\{a, t\}$. $\square$

445 **Corollary 4.5.** *The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\log 3 / \log \lambda$.*

446 *Proof.* This follows immediately from Propositions 2.16 and 3.12. $\square$

447 In fact, thanks to Theorem 6.8, a much stronger result holds:

448 **Proposition 4.6** ([4, Corollary 3.9]). *Set $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, and $\alpha_n = 2\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-2}$*
 449 *for $n \geq 3$. Then, for $n \geq 2$, the dimension of the degree- n component of $\mathcal{L}_{GS}$ is the*
 450 *number of ways of writing $n - 1$ as a sum $k_1\alpha_1 + \dots + k_t\alpha_t$ with all $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.*

451 The Gupta-Sidki Lie algebra generalizes to arbitrary characteristic p , with now
 452 $\psi(t) = x \otimes a + x^{p-1} \otimes t$. We will explore in §6.4 the connections between $\mathcal{L}_{GS}$ and
 453 the Gupta-Sidki group.

454 **4.2. The Grigorchuk Lie algebra.** Again inspired by the self-similarity struc-
 455 ture (10), we consider $X = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2)$, a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_G$, and a restricted Lie
 456 algebra ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$. Both are generated by a, b, c, d , and have the same self-similarity
 457 structure (denoting both algebras by $\mathcal{L}$)

$$(6) \quad \psi : \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X \\ a \mapsto \partial_x \\ b \mapsto x \otimes (a + c) \\ c \mapsto x \otimes (a + d) \\ d \mapsto x \otimes b. \end{cases}$$

458 We seek a grading for $\mathcal{L}$ that makes the generators homogeneous. Again X is $\mathbb{Z}$ -
 459 graded, with $\deg(x) = -1$ so $\deg(a) = 1$, and $\deg(b) = \deg(c) = \deg(d) = \deg(a) =$
 460 1 , so $\lambda = 2$. In other words, the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is no more than $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. Using
 461 our matrix notation:

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a+c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a+d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Contrary to §4.1, there are important differences between the algebras $\mathcal{L}_G$ and ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$. Their relationship is as follows: ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ is an extension of $\mathcal{L}_G$ by the abelian algebra $\mathbb{k}\{1^{\otimes n} \otimes [a, b]^2\}$. In this §, we denote $\mathcal{L}_G$ by $\mathcal{L}$.

We note that $\mathcal{L}$ is not recurrent. However, let us define $e = a + c$, $f = a + d$, and set $\mathcal{L}' = \langle b, e, f, f^2 \rangle$.

Proposition 4.7. *$\mathcal{L}'$ is an ideal of codimension 1 in $\mathcal{L}$. It is recurrent, transitive, and regularly branched on its ideal $\mathcal{K} = \langle [b, e] \rangle$ of codimension 3.*

Proof. First, $\mathcal{L}'$ is recurrent: indeed $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\psi f^2 = b$ and $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\psi[b, e] = e$; note the relation $b + e + f = 0$, so $\mathcal{L}'$ is 2-generated. By Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{L}'$ is transitive.

To show that $\mathcal{L}'$ is branched on $\mathcal{K}$, it suffices to note $\psi[[b, e], b] = x \otimes [b, e]$, so $\psi(\mathcal{K})$ contains $X \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Finally, $\mathcal{L}'/\mathcal{K}$ has basis $\{b, e, e^2\}$, as a direct calculation shows. $\square$

We note, however, that the corresponding subalgebra ${}_2\mathcal{L}'$ is not regularly branched on the restricted ideal ${}_2\mathcal{K} = \langle [b, e] \rangle$; indeed, as we noted above, ${}_2\mathcal{K}$ contains $1^{\otimes n} \otimes [b, e]^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, yet does not contain $x \otimes [b, e]^2$.

Theorem 4.8. *The Lie algebra ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ is nil, of unbounded nility, but not nilpotent.*

Proof. The ideal $\langle b, c, d \rangle$ in ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ has codimension 1; and it is generated by $b, c, [b, a], [c, a]$. Each of these elements is bounded and 3-evanescent; Corollary 2.9 applies. Then ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ itself is nil, because $a^2 = 0$.

That the nility in unbounded follows from Proposition 3.10. Clearly ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ is not nilpotent, since by Proposition 3.7 it is not even PI. $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *The relative Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ and ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$, with $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{k}\partial_x$, is*

$$\text{Hdim}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. We follow Proposition 3.11. We may take $M = 3$, and readily compute $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) = 4$ while $\dim(\mathcal{K}/(X \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1$, the latter having basis $\{[a, b]\}$. Letting $\mathcal{L}_n$ denote the image of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$, we find $\dim(\mathcal{L}_3) = 7$. This gives

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}_n) = 2^{n-1} + 3,$$

and the claimed result. $\square$

Note, on the other hand, that $\dim(({}_2\mathcal{L}_G)_n) = 2^{n-1} + n$, by the same calculation but taking into account the $1^{\otimes n} \otimes [a, b]^2$.

Lemma 4.10. *The algebra $\mathcal{L}_G$ is contracting.*

Proof. Its nucleus is $\mathbb{k}\{a, b, d\}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.11. *The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathcal{L}_G$ and ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ is 1.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Propositions 2.16 and 3.12. $\square$

In fact (see also Theorem 6.6), a much stronger result holds; namely, $\mathcal{L}_G$ and ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ have bounded width:

Proposition 4.12. *A basis of $\mathcal{L}_G$ is*

$$\{a, b, d, [a, d], x^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_n} \otimes [a, b] \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, i_k \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

The elements a, b, d have degree 1, the element $[a, d]$ has degree 2, and the element $x^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_n} \otimes [a, b]$ has degree $2^{n+1} - \sum 2^{k-1} i_k$.

500 A basis of ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ consists of the above basis, with in addition elements $1^{\otimes n} \otimes [a, b]^2$
 501 of degree 2^{n+2} .

502 In particular, in $\mathcal{L}_G$ there is a one-dimensional subspace of degree n for all
 503 $n \geq 3$, while in ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ there is a two-dimensional subspace of degree n for all $n \geq 2$
 504 a power of two.

505 We note that ${}_2\mathcal{L}'$, being a 2-generated restricted Lie algebra, cannot have maxi-
 506 mal class, because it is infinite dimensional [37]. It could actually be that the growth
 507 of ${}_2\mathcal{L}'$ is minimal among infinite restricted Lie algebras over a field of characteristic
 508 2.

509 We will explore in §6.3 the connections between $\mathcal{L}_G$, ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ and the Grigorchuk
 510 group.

511 **4.3. Grigorchuk Lie algebras.** We generalize the previous example $\mathcal{L}'$ as follows.
 512 We fix a field $\mathbb{k}$ of characteristic p , the alphabet $X = \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^p)$, and an infinite
 513 sequence $\omega = \omega_0\omega_1 \dots \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{k})^\infty$. Choose a projective lift $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{k}^2, \mathbb{k})$,
 514 and apply it to ω . Consider also the shift map $\sigma : \omega_0\omega_1 \dots \mapsto \omega_1 \dots$.

515 Define then a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ acting on $R(X)$, generated by $\mathbb{k}^2$, with (non-self-
 516)similarity structure

$$\psi : \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\sigma\omega} \wr \text{Der} X \\ \mathbb{k}^2 \ni a \mapsto x^{p-1} \otimes a + \omega_0(a) \partial_x. \end{cases}$$

517 It is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra, with dilation $\lambda = p$ and $\deg(a) = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{k}^2$.
 518 To see better the connection to the Grigorchuk example, consider $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{F}_2$ and
 519 $\omega = (\omega_0\omega_1\omega_2)^\infty$ with $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2$ the three non-trivial maps $\mathbb{k}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$. The three non-
 520 trivial elements of $\mathbb{k}^2$ are b, e, f generating the subalgebra $\mathcal{L}'$ from the previous §.
 521 Using our matrix notation,

$$b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

522 We summarize the findings of the previous § in this more general context. Recall
 523 from [11] that a graded algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is of *maximal class* if it is generated by the
 524 two-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\dim(\mathcal{L}_n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 2$. In particular, it has
 525 Gelfand-Kirillov dimension at most 1.

526 **Proposition 4.13.** *The algebra $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ is branched and of maximal class.*

527 The construction of $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ is modelled on that of the Grigorchuk groups G_ω in-
 528 troduced in [17]. We detail now the connection to the algebras of maximal class
 529 studied by Caranti *et al*, and recall their definition of *inflation* [11, §6]. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be
 530 a Lie algebra of maximal class, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a codimension-1 ideal, specified by
 531 $\omega \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{k})$: $a \in \mathcal{L}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\omega(a_1) = 0$, where a_1 denotes the
 532 degree-1 part of a .

533 Choose $s \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{M}$; then s acts as a derivation on $\mathcal{M}$. The corresponding
 534 *inflated* algebra is $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{k}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^p) \rtimes \mathbb{k}$, where $\mathbb{k}$ acts by the derivation $s' =$
 535 $1 \otimes \partial_\varepsilon - s \otimes \varepsilon^{p-1}$. Note $(s')^p = s$. They show that $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}$ is again an algebra of
 536 maximal class. The main results of [10, 22] are that every infinite-dimensional Lie
 537 algebra of maximal class is obtained through a (possibly infinite) number of steps
 538 from an elementary building blocks such as the Albert-Franks algebras.

539 In fact, $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}$ may also be described as the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L} \wr \mathbb{k} \partial_\varepsilon$ generated by $\mathcal{M}$
 540 and s' . The algebra $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}$ is independent (up to isomorphism) of the choice of s , and
 541 therefore solely depends on the choice of ω .

542 The algebras $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ presented in this § are examples of Lie algebras of maximal class
 543 that fall into the “infinitely iterated inflations” subclass [11, §9]. However, they do
 544 not appear here as inverse limits, but rather as countable-dimensional vector spaces,
 545 dense in the algebras constructed by Caranti *et al.*

546 If $\mathcal{L}'$ was obtained from $\mathcal{L}$ through inflation, then $\mathcal{L}$ may be recovered from
 547 $\mathcal{L}'$ through *deflation*: choose $s' \in \mathcal{L}'$ of degree 1 that does not commute with $\mathcal{L}'_2$,
 548 and set $(\mathcal{L}')^\downarrow = \mathbb{k}(s')^p \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (\mathcal{L}')_{pn}$. Then $\mathcal{L} \cong (\mathcal{L}')^\downarrow$.

549 **4.4. Fabrykowski-Gupta Lie algebras.** Again inspired by the self-similarity
 550 structure of the Fabrykowski-Gupta group [12], we consider $X = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^p)$ and a
 551 Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ generated by a, t with self-similarity structure

$$\psi : \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathbf{Der} X \\ a \mapsto \partial_x \\ t \mapsto x^{p-1} \otimes (a + t). \end{cases}$$

552 We seek a grading for $\mathcal{L}$ that makes the generators homogeneous. Again X is
 553 $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, with $\deg(x) = -1$ so $\deg(a) = 1$, and $\deg(t) = \deg(a) = 1$, while
 554 $\lambda \deg(t) = p - 1 + \deg(t)$ so $\lambda = p$. In other words, the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is no more
 555 than $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. Using our matrix notation:

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ -a - t & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

556 **Theorem 4.14.** *The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is not nil.*

557 *Proof.* Consider the element $x = a + t$. A direct calculation gives $\psi(x^p) = -1 \otimes x$.
 558 It follows that, if $x^{p^s} = 0$ for some $s > 0$, then $-1 \otimes x^{p^{s-1}} = 0$ so $x^{p^{s-1}} = 0$. Since
 559 $x \neq 0$, it is not nil. $\square$

560 **4.5. Petrogradsky-Shestakov-Zelmanov algebras.** We consider $\mathbb{k}$ a field of
 561 characteristic p , and $X = \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^p)$. We fix an integer $m \geq 2$, and consider the Lie
 562 algebra $\mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}}$ with generators $d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}, v$ and self-similarity structure

$$\psi : \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}} \wr \mathbf{Der} X \\ d_1 \mapsto \partial_x \\ d_{n+1} \mapsto 1 \otimes d_n \text{ for } n = 1, \dots, m-2, \\ v \mapsto 1 \otimes d_{m-1} + x^{p-1} \otimes v. \end{cases}$$

563 In the special case $m = 2, \mathbb{k} = \mathbb{F}_2$, Petrogradsky actually considered in [33] the
 564 subalgebra $\langle v, [d_1, v] \rangle$, of codimension 1 in $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{F}_2}$. Shestakov and Zelmanov consider
 565 in [40] the case $m = 2$; see also [34]. We repeat for clarity that last example (with
 566 $d = d_1$) using our matrix notation. For that purpose, we take divided powers
 567 $\{1, x, x^2/2, \dots, x^{p-1}/(p-1)!\}$ as basis of X . The endomorphisms m_x and m_{∂_x} are
 568 respectively

$$m_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 2 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & p-1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m_{\partial_x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

569 It follows that the matrix decomposition of d, v are

$$d \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ -v & \cdots & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

570 We seek a grading that makes the generators homogeneous. The ring X is $\mathbb{Z}$ -
571 graded by setting $\deg(x) = -1$, so $T(X)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ -graded. If that grading is to be
572 compatible with the self-similarity structure, however, we must impose $\deg(d_n) =$
573 λ^{n-1} and

$$\deg(v) = \lambda \deg(d_{m-1}) = -(p-1) + \lambda \deg(v) = \lambda^{m-1},$$

574 so $\lambda^m - \lambda^{m-1} + 1 - p = 0$. We therefore grade $T(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}}$ by the abelian group

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/(\lambda^m - \lambda^{m-1} + 1 - p).$$

575 For simplicity, we state the following result only in the case $m = 2$:

576 **Proposition 4.15.** *The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}$ is regularly branched on its ideal $\langle [v, [d, v]] \rangle$*
577 *of codimension $p + 1$.*

578 *Proof.* First, $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}$ is recurrent: indeed $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\psi(v) = d$ and $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\psi[[d^{p-1}, v]] = v$.
579 Then, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}$ is transitive.

580 Write $c = [v, [d, v]]$ and $\mathcal{K} = \langle c \rangle$. To prove that $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}$ is branched on $\mathcal{K}$, it
581 suffices to exhibit $c' \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\psi(c') = x^{p-1} \otimes c$. If $p = 2$, then $c' = [v, c]$ will do,
582 while if $p \geq 3$ then $c' = [[d, v], [[d^{p-3}, c]]]$ will do.

583 A direct computation shows that $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}/\mathcal{K}$ is finite-dimensional, with basis $\{d, v, [d, v], \dots, [[d^{p-1}, v]]\}$.
584 $\square$

585 We are now ready to reprove the following main result from Shestakov and
586 Zelmanov:

587 **Theorem 4.16** ([40, Example 1]). *The algebra $\mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}}$ is nil but not nilpotent.*

588 *Proof.* The ideal $\langle v \rangle$ has finite codimension and is generated by m -evanescent el-
589 ements, so Corollary 2.9 applies to it. We conclude by noting that $\mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}}/\langle v \rangle$ is
590 abelian and hence nil. $\square$

591 We concentrate again on $m = 2$ in the following results:

592 **Proposition 4.17.** *The relative Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}$, with $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{k}\partial_x$, is*

$$\text{Hdim}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}) = \frac{(p-1)^2}{p^3}.$$

593 *Proof.* We follow Proposition 3.11, using the notation $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbb{k}}$. We may take
594 $M = 3$, and readily compute $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}) = p + 1$ with basis $\{d, [[d^i, v]]\}$ and
595 $\dim(\mathcal{K}/(X \otimes \mathcal{K})) = (p-1)^2$ with basis $\{[[d^i, v^j], c] \mid i, j \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}\}$. Letting
596 $\mathcal{L}_n$ denote the image of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathfrak{Der}(X^{\otimes n})$, we find $\dim(\mathcal{L}_3) = p + 1$. This gives

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}_n) = (p-1) \cdot p^{n-3} + 2,$$

597 and the claimed result. $\square$

598 **Lemma 4.18.** *The algebra $\mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}}$ is contracting.*

599 *Proof.* Its nucleus is $\mathbb{k}\{d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}, v\}$. $\square$

600 **Corollary 4.19.** *The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathcal{L}_{m,\mathbb{k}}$ is $\log p / \log \lambda$.*

601 *Proof.* This follows immediately from Propositions 2.16 and 3.12. $\square$

602 Note, however, that careful combinatorics give a much sharper result. For exam-
 603 ple, again for $m = p = 2$, the dimension of the span of commutators of length $\leq n$ in
 604 $\mathcal{L}_{2, \mathbb{F}_2}$ is, for $F_{k-1} < n \leq F_k$ and (F_k) the Fibonacci numbers, the number of man-
 605 ners of writing $F_k - n$ as sum of distinct Fibonacci numbers among $F_1, \dots, F_{k-4}$.
 606 In particular, for $n = F_k$ at least 5, there is precisely one commutator of length n ,
 607 namely $1^{\otimes k-2} \otimes v$.

608 5. SELF-SIMILAR ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

609 At least three associative algebras may be associated with a self-similar Lie
 610 algebra $\mathcal{L}$. The first is the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$, which maps onto
 611 the other two. The second is the adjoint algebra of $\mathcal{L}$, namely the associative
 612 subalgebra $\mathfrak{Adj}(\mathcal{L})$ of $\text{End}(\mathcal{L})$ generated by the derivations $[a, -] : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ for all
 613 $a \in \mathcal{L}$. The third one is the *thinned algebra* $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$, defined as follows.

Let us write $d = \dim X$. Recall from (2) that the self-similarity structure $\psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$ gave rise to a linear map $\psi' : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_d(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{k})$. We extend this map multiplicatively to an algebra homomorphism $\psi' : T(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_d(T(\mathcal{L}))$. Now, for $a = \sum x_i \otimes a_i + \delta$ and $b = \sum y_j \otimes b_j + \epsilon$ in $\mathcal{L}$, we have $[a, b] = \sum \sum x_i y_j \otimes [a_i, b_j] + \sum \delta y_j \otimes b_j - \sum \epsilon x_i \otimes a_i + [\delta, \epsilon]$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(ab - ba - [a, b]) &= \sum \sum m_{x_i y_j} (a_i b_j - b_j a_i - [a_i, b_j]) \\ &\quad + \sum (m_{x_i} m_\epsilon - m_\epsilon m_{x_i} - m_{\epsilon x_i}) a_i \\ &\quad - \sum (m_{y_j} m_\delta - m_\delta m_{y_j} - m_{\delta y_j}) b_j \\ &\quad + m_\delta m_\epsilon - m_\epsilon m_\delta - m_{[\delta, \epsilon]}; \end{aligned}$$

614 the last three summands are zero, so all entries of $\psi'(ab - ba - [a, b])$ lie in the ideal
 615 generated by the $a'b' - b'a' - [a', b']$. We deduce:

616 **Proposition 5.1.** *The map ψ' induces an algebra homomorphism $\psi' : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow$*
 617 *$\text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}))$.*

618 Now we note that, even though $\psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \wr \mathfrak{Der} X$ may be injective, this does
 619 not imply that ψ' is injective. As a simple example, consider the Grigorchuk Lie
 620 algebra from §4.2. We have $bc \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$, but $\psi'(bc) = 0$.

621 Since $\mathcal{L}$ acts on $T(X)$ by $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps, the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$
 622 also acts on $T(X)$. Quite clearly, the kernel of the action of $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ on $T(X)$ contains
 623 the kernel of ψ' .

624 **Definition 5.2.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a self-similar Lie algebra. The *thinned enveloping algebra*
 625 of $\mathcal{L}$ is the quotient $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ of $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ by the kernel of its natural action on $T(X)$.
 626 $\triangle$

627 Rephrasing the results from §4, we deduce that, for $\mathcal{L} =$ the Gupta-Sidki Lie
 628 algebra, the Grigorchuk Lie algebra, and the Petrogradsky-Shestakov-Zelmanov
 629 algebras, the image of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is nil; the same property then holds for the
 630 adjoint algebra and the thinned algebra of $\mathcal{L}$. Apart from this information, the
 631 structure of $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ or $\mathfrak{Adj}(\mathcal{L})$ seems mysterious.

632 In case $\mathcal{L}$ admits two gradings with different dilations, it is possible to deduce
 633 that $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is a sum of locally nilpotent subalgebras. Assume therefore that $\mathcal{L}$
 634 admits two degree functions, written $\deg_\lambda$ and $\deg_\mu$. For simplicity, assume also
 635 $\lambda > |\mu| > 1$, although the case $|\mu| \leq 1$ can also be handled as a limit, or by a

small change in the argument; indeed increasing $|\mu|$ only makes inequalities tighter in what follows. The following is drawn from [34, Theorem 2.1]:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a graded self-similar Lie algebra, in characteristic $p > 0$, with gradings $\deg_\lambda, \deg_\mu$, such that $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by finitely many positive-degree elements with respect to $\deg_\lambda$. Then there is a decomposition as a sum of subalgebras*

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{U}_+ \oplus \mathbb{U}_0 \oplus \mathbb{U}_-,$$

in which $\mathbb{U}_+, \mathbb{U}_0, \mathbb{U}_-$ are respectively the spans of homogeneous elements a with $\deg_\mu(a) > 0$, respectively $= 0$, respectively < 0 ; and $\mathbb{U}_+$ and $\mathbb{U}_-$ are locally nilpotent. In particular, homogeneous elements with $\deg_\mu \neq 0$ are nil.

Proof. We first deduce from (3) and (4) that, for appropriate constants C, D , we have for all $a \in X^{\otimes n} \otimes N$

$$\log_{|\mu|}(\deg_\mu(a)/C) \leq n \leq \log_\lambda(\deg_\lambda(a)/D).$$

Setting $\theta = \log |\mu| / \log \lambda \in (0, 1)$, we get, for a fresh constant C ,

$$\deg_\mu(a) \leq C \deg_\lambda(a)^\theta.$$

We seek a similar inequality for $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$. For that purpose, choose a homogeneous basis $(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ of $\mathcal{L}$, and recall that $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ has basis

$$\left(\prod_{i \geq 1} a_i^{n_i} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{F}_p, \text{ almost all } 0 \right).$$

Each a_i belongs to $X^{\otimes m_i} \otimes N$ for some minimal $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let ℓ_j denote the number of m_i with $m_i = j$. Then $\ell_j \leq (\dim X)^j \dim N$.

Consider now $u = \prod_{i \geq 1} a_i^{n_i} \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$. We have

$$\deg_\lambda(u) = \sum_{i \geq 1} n_i \lambda^{m_i}, \quad |\deg_\mu(u)| \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} n_i |\mu|^{m_i},$$

and we wish to study cases in which $|\deg_\mu(u)|$ is as large as possible for given $\deg_\lambda(u)$. Because $|\mu| < \lambda$ and by convexity of the functions $\lambda^x, |\mu|^x$, this will occur when $n_i = p - 1$ whenever m_i is small, say $m_i < K$, and $n_i = 0$ whenever $m_i > K$; with intermediate values whenever $m_i = K$. Letting $A \propto B$ mean that the ration A/B is universally bounded away from 0 and ∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_\lambda(u) &\propto (p-1) \sum_{j=0}^K \ell_j \lambda^j \propto (\lambda \dim X)^K, \\ \deg_\mu(u) &\stackrel{<}{\propto} (p-1) \sum_{j=0}^K \ell_j |\mu|^j \propto (|\mu| \dim X)^K, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(7) \quad \deg_\mu(u) < C \deg_\lambda(u)^{\theta'},$$

for a constant C and $\theta' = \log(|\mu| \dim X) / \log(\lambda \dim X) \in (0, 1)$.

It is clear that we have a decomposition $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{U}_+ \oplus \mathbb{U}_0 \oplus \mathbb{U}_-$. We now show that $\mathbb{U}_+$ is locally nilpotent, the same argument applying to $\mathbb{U}_-$. For that purpose, consider $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{U}_+$, spanning a subspace V , and set

$$D = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \frac{\deg_\lambda(u_i)}{\deg_\mu(u_i)}.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a non-zero homogeneous element u in the s -fold product V^s .
Then $\deg_\lambda(u) \leq D \deg_\mu(u)$; combining this with (7) we get

$$\deg_\mu(u) \leq C(D \deg_\mu(u))^{\theta'},$$

so $\deg_\mu(u)$ is bounded and therefore s is also bounded. $\square$

Note that, if $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by a set S such that the $\deg_\mu(s)$ with $s \in S$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{U}_0 = \mathbb{k}$ and therefore homogeneous elements except scalars are nil in $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$.

On the other hand, note that even the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ tends to have transcendental elements. For example, $\mathcal{A}({}_2\mathcal{L}_G)$ contains $a + b + ad$, which is transcendental by [5, Theorem 4.20] and Theorem 6.7. It seems that the element $a^2 + t$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_{GS})$ is transcendental.

Lemma 5.4. *If $p = \alpha^m - \alpha^{m-1} + 1$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_{m, \mathbb{F}_p})$ is not nil.*

Proof. Write $\alpha = \beta - 1$, and consider the element $x = d_1^{\alpha\beta^{m-2}} d_2^{\alpha\beta^{m-3}} \cdots d_{m-1}^\alpha v$. Then $\psi(x^\beta)$ is a lower triangular matrix, with x at position (p, p) . Because $x \neq 0$, it follows that $x^{\beta^n} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so x is not a nil element. $\square$

There does not seem to exist such a simple argument for arbitrary primes; for instance, for $p = m = 2$ it seems that $x = v + v^2 + vuv$ has infinite order (its order is at least 2^{10}), while for $p = 5$ and $m = 2$ it seems that $x = v + d^2 - d^4$ has infinite order (its order is at least 5^3).

5.1. Thinned algebras. The “thinned algebra” from Definition 5.2 is an instance of a *self-similar algebra*, as defined in [5]. We recall the basic notion: a *self-similar associative algebra* is an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_d(\mathcal{A})$. If furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ is augmented (by $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$), then $\mathcal{A}$ acts linearly on $T(\mathbb{k}^d)$: given $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and an elementary tensor $v = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \in T(\mathbb{k}^d)$, set $a \cdot 1 = \varepsilon(a)$ and recursively

$$a(v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle e_i | x_1 \rangle e_j \otimes a_{ij}(x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n),$$

if $\psi(a) = (a_{ij})$. Conversely, specifying $\phi(s), \varepsilon(s)$ for generators s of $\mathcal{A}$ defines at most one self-similar algebra acting faithfully on $T(\mathbb{k}^d)$.

The first example of self-similar algebra (though not couched in that language) is due to Sidki [41]. He constructed a primitive ring $\mathcal{A}$, containing both the Gupta-Sidki torsion group (see §6.4) and a transcendental element.

Another example [5], on the other hand, is far from primitive: it equals its Jacobson radical, contains both the Grigorchuk group (see §6.3) and an invertible transcendental element, and has quadratic growth.

The fundamental idea of “linearising” the definition of a self-similar group (see §6) already appears in [47].

5.2. Bimodules. The definition of self-similar associative algebra, given above, has the defect of imposing a specific choice of basis. The following more abstract definition is essentially equivalent.

Definition 5.5. An associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is *self-similar* if it is endowed with a *covering bimodule*, namely, an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ that is free qua right $\mathcal{A}$ -module.

$\triangle$

Indeed, given $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_d(\mathcal{A})$, define $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{k}^d \otimes \mathcal{A}$, with natural right action, and left action

$$a \cdot (e_i \otimes b) = \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \otimes a_{ij} b \text{ for } \psi(a) = (a_{ij}).$$

Conversely, if $\mathcal{M}$ is free, choose an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \cong X \otimes \mathcal{A}$ for a vector space X , and choose a basis (e_i) of X ; then write $\psi(a) = (a_{ij})$ where $a \cdot (e_i \otimes 1) = \sum e_j \otimes a_{ij}$ for all i .

Note that we had no reason to require X to be finite-dimensional (of dimension d) in Definition 5.5, though all our examples are of that form.

The natural action of $\mathcal{A}$ may be defined without explicit reference to a basis X of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$: one simply lets $\mathcal{A}$ act on the left on

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{k}.$$

It is possible to define self-similar Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}$ in a basis-free manner, by requiring that the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ be endowed with a covering bimodule. We shall not follow that approach here, because it is not (yet) justified by any applications.

5.3. Growth. Under the assumptions of Propositions 2.16 and 3.12, we may estimate the growth of the associative algebras $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$. We begin by $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$, for which analytic number theory methods are useful. The following is an adaptation of [29, Theorem 1]. Note that, with a little more care, Nathanson obtained the same bounds $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$, for $\alpha = 1/2$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$ be a power series with positive coefficients, and consider $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

(1) *There exists a homeomorphism $\Phi_1 : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{n^\alpha} \geq L \text{ implies } \liminf_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (1-x)^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)} \log f(x) \geq \Phi_1(L).$$

(2) *There exists a homeomorphism $\Phi_2 : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{n^\alpha} \leq L \text{ implies } \limsup_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (1-x)^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)} \log f(x) \leq \Phi_2(L).$$

Proof. Ad (1): for every $\epsilon > 0$ there are arbitrarily large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_n \geq e^{(L-\epsilon)n^\alpha}$. Consider $x = e^{-t}$, with $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then $f(x) \geq a_n x^{-n} \geq e^{(L-\epsilon)n^\alpha - tn}$. This expression is maximized at $t = \alpha(L-\epsilon)n^{\alpha-1}$, with $t \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\log f(x) \geq (1-\alpha)(L-\epsilon)(t/\alpha(L-\epsilon))^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)} = K t^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}$ for a function $K(L, \epsilon)$. Now, for $t \rightarrow 0$, we have $t \approx 1-x$, so $(1-x)^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)} \log f(x) \geq K$ for x near 1^- . Thus $\Phi_1(L) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} K(L, \epsilon)$ satisfies (1).

Ad (2): for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n \leq e^{(L-\epsilon)n^\alpha}$ for all $n \geq N_0$. Consider x near 1^- , and write again $x = e^{-t}$. Set $N_1 = (t/\alpha(L+\epsilon))^{1/(\alpha-1)}$. Write

$$f(x) = \sum_{n < N_0} a_n x^n + \sum_{n=N_0}^{2N_1} a_n x^n + \sum_{n \geq 2N_1} a_n x^n.$$

The first summand is bounded by a function $K_1(\epsilon)$. The second is bounded by $2N_1 e^{(L+\epsilon)N_1^\alpha - N_1 t}$. For $n \geq 2N_1$ we have $d/dn((L+\epsilon)n^\alpha - tn) \leq (2^{\alpha-1} - 1)\alpha(L+\epsilon)N_1^{\alpha-1} < 0$, so the third summand is bounded by the geometric series

730 $\sum_{i \geq 0} e^{(L+\epsilon)(2N_1)^\alpha - t(2N_1)} e^{(2^{\alpha-1}-1)\alpha(L+\epsilon)N_1^{\alpha-1}i}$. Collecting all three summands into
 731 a bound $\Phi_2(L)$ yields (2). $\square$

732 **Lemma 5.7.** *Consider the series $f(x) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1-x^n)^{-n^\beta}$. Then $(1-x)^{\beta+1} \log f(x)$
 733 is bounded away from $\{0, \infty\}$ as $x \rightarrow 1^-$.*

734 *Proof.* We have $\log f(x) = -\sum_{n \geq 1} n^\beta \log(1-x^n)$; and $-\log(1-x^n) \geq x^n$ so
 735 $\log f(x) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} n^\beta x^n \approx (1-x)^{-\beta-1}$; therefore $L_f := (1-x)^{\beta+1} \log f(x)$ is bounded
 736 away from 0.

737 Conversely, it makes no difference to consider $f(x)$ or $g(x) := f(x)/f(x^p)$, be-
 738 cause $L_g = (1-p^{-1})L_f$. Now $-\log((1-x^n)/(1-x^{pn})) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (x^{ni} - x^{pni})/i \leq px^n$,
 739 so $\log g(x) \lesssim p(1-x)^{-\beta-1}$ is bounded away from ∞ . $\square$

740 The following is an improvement on the bounds given in [32, Proposition 1].

741 **Theorem 5.8.** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a contracting, $\mathbb{R}_+$ -graded self-similar Lie algebra, with
 742 dilation $\lambda > 1$, that is generated by finitely many positive-degree elements. Then
 743 the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ has subexponential growth; more precisely,
 744 the growth of $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is bounded as*

$$(8) \quad \dim(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}))_{\leq d} \lesssim e^{d^{\text{GKdim}(\mathcal{L})/(\text{GKdim}(\mathcal{L})+1)}}.$$

745 *If furthermore $\mathcal{L}$ is regularly weakly branched, then the exponent in (8) is sharp.*

746 *Proof.* We denote, for an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, by $\mathcal{A}_n$ the homogeneous summand of degree
 747 n . Let $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})_n x^n$ denote the Poincaré series of $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$. By the
 748 Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, we have $f(x) = f_0(x) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1-x^n)^{-\dim \mathcal{L}_n}$ in
 749 characteristic 0, and $f(x) = f_0(x)/f_0(x^p)$ in characteristic p . By Proposition 2.16,
 750 we have

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=\lambda^m}^{\lambda^{m+1}-1} \dim \mathcal{L}_n \lesssim \lambda^{\theta m}, \text{ with } \theta = \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{L}).$$

751 The estimate (8) only depends on the asymptotics of $f(x)$ near 1, and these change
 752 only by a factor of λ between the extreme cases when the sum in (9) is concentrated
 753 in its first or its last terms. It therefore makes no harm to assume $\dim \mathcal{L}_n \lesssim n^{\theta-1}$,
 754 so $(1-x)^\theta \log(x)$ is bounded away from ∞ by Lemma 5.7; and the upper bound
 755 in (8) follows from Lemma 5.6(1).

756 On the other hand, if $\mathcal{L}$ is regularly weakly branched then by Proposition 3.12 we
 757 have $\sum_{n=\lambda^m}^{\lambda^{m+1}-1} \dim \mathcal{L}_n \gtrsim \lambda^{\theta m}$ and the lower bound in (8) follows from Lemma 5.6(2).
 758 $\square$

759 We now show that the thinned algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimen-
 760 sion, double that of $\mathcal{L}$, under the same hypotheses:

761 **Theorem 5.9.** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a contracting, $\mathbb{R}_+$ -graded self-similar Lie algebra, with
 762 dilation $\lambda > 1$, that is generated by finitely many positive-degree elements. Then*

$$\text{GKdim} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}) \leq 2 \frac{\log \dim X}{\log \lambda}.$$

763 *On the other hand, if $\mathcal{L}$ is regularly weakly branched, then*

$$\text{GKdim} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2 \frac{\log \dim X}{\log \lambda}.$$

764 *Proof.* Let $\mathcal{A}_d$ denote the span of homogeneous elements in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ of degree $\leq d$.
 765 Consider $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$, and express it in $\text{Mat}_{X^{\otimes n} \times X^{\otimes n}}(N)$. By (4) we have $n \leq \log_\lambda(d/\epsilon)$,
 766 so

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_d \leq \sum_{j=0}^n (\dim X)^{2j} \dim N \lesssim d^{2 \log(\dim X) / \log \lambda}.$$

767 If $\mathcal{L}$ is regularly weakly branched then, following the proof of Proposition 3.12,
 768 we have

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_d \geq (d/\epsilon)^{2 \log(\dim X) / \log \lambda}. \quad \square$$

769 There is yet another notion of growth, which we just mention in passing. For
 770 $v \in R(X)$, consider the *orbit growth* function

$$\gamma_v(d) = \dim(\mathcal{L}_d v),$$

771 where $\mathcal{L}_d$ denotes the span of homogeneous elements in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ of degree $\leq d$; and
 772 consider also

$$\gamma(d) = \sup_{v \in R(X)} \gamma_v(d).$$

773 It seems that $\gamma(d)$ is closely related to $\dim \mathcal{L}_d$, but that may be an artefact of the
 774 simplicity of the examples yet considered.

775 **5.4. Sidki's monomial algebra.** Sidki considered in [42] a self-similar associative
 776 algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on two generators s, t , given by the self-similarity structure

$$s \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

777 He gives a presentation for $\mathcal{A}$, all of whose relators are monomial, and shows that
 778 monomials are nil in $\mathcal{A}$ while $s + t$ is transcendental.

779 The self-similarity structure of $\mathcal{A}$ is close both to that of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_2)$, the difference
 780 being the 's' at position (1, 1) of $\psi(t)$; and to the thinned ring $\mathcal{A}(I_4)$ of a semigroup
 781 considered in [6], the difference being the '1' at position (1, 2) in $\psi(s)$. Note also
 782 that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}(I_4)$ have the same Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. One is led to wonder
 783 whether $\mathcal{A}$ may be obtained as an associated graded of $\mathcal{A}(I_4)$.

784 6. SELF-SIMILAR GROUPS

785 My starting point, in studying self-similar Lie algebras, was the corresponding
 786 notion for groups:

787 **Definition 6.1.** Let X be a set, called the *alphabet*. A group G is *self-similar* if it
 788 is endowed with a homomorphism

$$\psi : G \rightarrow G^X \rtimes \text{Sym} X =: G \wr \text{Sym} X,$$

789 called its *self-similarity structure*. $\triangle$

790 The first occurrence of this definition seems to be [39, Page 310]; it has also
 791 appeared in the context of groups generated by automata [46].

792 A self-similar group naturally acts on the set X^* of words over the alphabet X :
 793 given $g \in G$ and $v = x_1 \dots x_n$, define recursively

$$g(v) = \pi(x_1) g_{x_1}(x_2 \dots x_n) \text{ where } \psi(g) = ((g_x)_{x \in X}, \pi).$$

794 Conversely, if $\psi(g)$ is specified for the generators of a group G , this defines at most
 795 one self-similar group acting faithfully on X^* .

796 Note that we have no reason to require X to be finite, though all our examples
 797 are of that form.

798 Nekrashevych [30] introduced a more abstract, essentially equivalent notion:

799 **Definition 6.2.** A group G is *self-similar* if it is endowed with a *covering biset*,
800 namely, a G - G -biset M that is free qua right G -set. $\triangle$

801 Indeed, given $\psi : G \rightarrow G \wr \text{Sym} X$, define $M = X \times G$, with natural right action,
802 and left action

$$g(x, h) = (\pi(x), g_x h) \text{ for } \psi(g) = ((g_x)_{x \in X}, \pi).$$

803 Conversely, if M is free, choose an isomorphism $M_G \cong X \times G$ for a set X , and
804 write $\psi(g) = ((g_x), \pi)$ where $g(x, 1) = (\pi(x), g_x)$ for all $x \in X$.

805 The natural action of G may be defined without explicit reference to a “basis”
806 X of M : one simply lets G act on the left on

$$\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} M \times_G \cdots \times_G M \times_G \{*\},$$

807 where the fibred product of bisets in $M \times_G N = M \times N / (mg, n) = (m, gn)$.

808 If G is a self-similar group, with self-similarity structure $\psi : G \rightarrow G \wr \text{Sym} X$, and
809 $\mathbb{k}$ is a ring, then its *thinned algebra* is a self-similar associative algebra $\mathcal{A}(G)$ with
810 alphabet $\mathbb{k}X$. It is defined as the quotient of the group ring $\mathbb{k}G$ acting faithfully
811 on $T(\mathbb{k}X)$, for the self-similarity structure $\psi' : \mathbb{k}G \rightarrow \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{k}G)$ given by

$$\psi'(g) = \sum_{x \in X} \mathbb{1}_{x, \pi(x)} g_x \text{ for } \psi(g) = ((g_x), \pi),$$

812 where $\mathbb{1}_{x, y}$ is the elementary matrix with a 1 at position (x, y) .

813 The definition is even simpler in terms of bisets and bimodules: if G has a
814 covering biset M , then $\mathbb{k}G$ has a covering module $\mathbb{k}M$, turning it into a self-similar
815 associative algebra.

816 **6.1. From groups to Lie algebras.** We shall consider two methods of associating
817 a Lie algebra to a discrete group. The first one, quite general, is due to Magnus [27].
818 Given a group G , consider its *lower central series* $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$, defined by $\gamma_1 = G$ and
819 $\gamma_n = [G, \gamma_{n-1}]$ for $n \geq 2$. Form then

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \gamma_n / \gamma_{n+1}.$$

820 This is a graded abelian group; and the bracket $[g\gamma_{n+1}, h\gamma_{m+1}] = [g, h]\gamma_{n+m+1}$,
821 extended bilinearly, gives it the structure of a graded Lie ring.

822 Consider now a field $\mathbb{k}$ of characteristic p , and define the *dimension series* $(\gamma_n^p)_{n \geq 1}$
823 of G by $\gamma_1^p = G$ and $\gamma_n^p = [G, \gamma_{n-1}^p](\gamma_{[n/p]}^p)^p$. The corresponding abelian group

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{k}}(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \gamma_n^p / \gamma_{n+1}^p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{k}$$

824 is now a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{k}$, which furthermore is restricted, with p -mapping defined
825 by $(x\gamma_{n+1}^p \otimes \alpha)^p = x^p \gamma_{pn+1}^p \otimes \alpha^p$.

826 Note the following alternative definition [36]: the group ring $\mathbb{k}G$ is filtered
827 by powers of its augmentation ideal ϖ . The corresponding graded ring $\overline{\mathbb{k}G} =$
828 $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \varpi^n / \varpi^{n+1}$ is a Hopf algebra, because ϖ is a Hopf ideal in $\mathbb{k}G$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{k}}(G)$
829 is the Lie algebra of primitive elements in $\overline{\mathbb{k}G}$, which itself is the universal enveloping
830 algebra of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{k}}(G)$.

831 There is a natural graded map $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{k}}(G)$, given by $g\gamma_{n+1} \mapsto g\gamma_{n+1}^p \otimes 1$.
832 Furthermore, its kernel $\mathcal{K}_1$ consists of elements of the form $(g\gamma_{n+1})^p$. There is a

833 p -linear map $\mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^k(G)$, given by $(g\gamma_{n+1})^p \mapsto g^p \gamma_{pn+1}^p \otimes 1$, and similarly for
 834 higher kernels $\mathcal{K}_m$ with $m \geq 2$.

835 We turn now to a second construction, specific for self-similar groups. We as-
 836 sume, further, that G 's alphabet is $\mathbb{F}_p$, and that the image of $\psi : G \rightarrow G \wr \text{Sym} X$
 837 lies in $G \wr \mathbb{F}_p$, where $\mathbb{F}_p$ acts on itself by addition. Finally, we fix a generating set S
 838 of G , and assume that $\psi(S)$ lies in $S^X \times \mathbb{F}_p$. Let S' denote a subset of S such that
 839 every $s \in S$ is of the form $(s')^n$ for unique $s' \in S'$ and $n \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

840 Consider now the vector space $X' = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^p)$, and the self-similar Lie algebra
 841 $\mathcal{L}(G)$ acting faithfully on $T(X')$, with generating set S' , with the following self-
 842 similarity structure: set

$$\psi'(s') = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^i (x+1)^{p-1-i} n_i \otimes s_i + n \partial_x \text{ for } \psi(s') = ((s_i^{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{F}_p}, n).$$

843 Modify furthermore the self-similarity structure as follows, to obtain a graded
 844 algebra in which the elements of s' are homogeneous: if $\psi'(s') = \sum_{s \in S'} f_s(x) \otimes s +$
 845 $n \partial_x$, then let $\overline{f_s}$ denote the leading monomial of f_s , and set

$$\psi(s') = \sum_{s \in S'} \overline{f_s(x)} \otimes s + n \partial_x.$$

846 **Conjecture 6.3.** *Consider a self-similar group G as above, its thinned algebra*
 847 *$\mathcal{A}(G)$ with augmentation ideal ϖ , and the associated graded algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}(G)} =$*
 848 *$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \varpi^n / \varpi^{n+1}$. Then the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{A}(G)}$.*

849 **Conjecture 6.4.** *Consider a self-similar group G as above, and $\mathcal{L}^k(G)$ its asso-*
 850 *ciated Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{L}^k(G)$.*

851 These conjectures should be proved roughly along the following construction:
 852 first, there is a natural map $\pi_0 : G \rightarrow G/[G, G] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G)$, sending $s \in S$ to its
 853 image in $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Then, given $g\gamma_{n+1}^p \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be maximal such that γ_n^p
 854 acts trivially on X^k , and consider $\psi^n(g) = (g_0 \dots 0, \dots, g_{p-1} \dots p-1)$. Write then

$$\pi_k(g) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^{i_1} (x+1)^{p-1-i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{i_k} (x+1)^{p-1-i_k} \otimes \pi_0(g_{i_1 \dots i_k}).$$

855 These maps should induce a map $\mathcal{L}^k(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G)$. A similar construction should
 856 relate $\varpi^n \leq \mathcal{A}(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}(G)$.

857 Rather than pursuing this line in its generality, we shall now see, in specific
 858 examples, how $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}^k(G)$ are related, and lead from self-similar groups to
 859 the Lie algebras described in §4.

860 **6.2. The p -Sylow subgroup of the infinite symmetric group.** Kaloujnine
 861 initiated in [23] the study of the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Sym}(p^m)$, and its infinite
 862 generalization [24]. The Sylow subgroup of $\text{Sym}(p^m)$ is an m -fold iterated wreath
 863 product of C_p , and these groups form a natural projective system; denote their
 864 inverse limit by W_p . Then $W_p \cong W_p \wr \mathbb{F}_p$, and if we denote this isomorphism by ψ
 865 then W_p is a self-similar group satisfying the conditions of the previous paragraph.

866 Sushchansky and Netreba exploited Kaloujnine's representation of elements of
 867 W_p by "tableaux" to describe in [31, 45] the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_p}(W_p)$ associated
 868 with W_p . This language is essentially equivalent to ours; Kaloujnine's "tableau"
 869 $x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n}$ corresponds to our derivation $x^{p-1-e_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{p-1-e_n} \otimes \partial_x$. See also [4,
 870 §3.5] for more details. The upshot is the

Proposition 6.5 ([4, Theorem 3.4]). *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_p}(W_p)$, $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(W_p)$, $\mathcal{L}(W_p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}(W_p)}$ are isomorphic.*

6.3. The Grigorchuk groups. An essential example of self-similar group was thoroughly investigated by Grigorchuk [15, 16, 18]. The “first” Grigorchuk group is defined as follows: it is self-similar; acts faithfully on X^* for $X = \mathbb{F}_2$; is generated by a, b, c, d ; and has self-similarity structure

$$(10) \quad \psi : \begin{cases} G \rightarrow G \wr \mathbb{F}_2, \\ a \mapsto ((1, 1), 1), \\ b \mapsto ((a, c), 0), \\ c \mapsto ((a, d), 0), \\ d \mapsto ((1, b), 0). \end{cases}$$

The following notable properties of G stand out:

- it is an infinite, finitely generated torsion 2-group [2, 15], providing an accessible answer to a question by Burnside [7] about the existence of such groups;
- It has intermediate word-growth [16], namely the number of group elements expressible as a word of length $\leq n$ in the generators grows faster than any polynomial, but slower than any exponential function. This answered a question by Milnor [28] on the existence of such groups;
- It has finite width [3, 38], namely the ranks of the lower central factors γ_n/γ_{n+1} is bounded. This disproved a conjecture by Zelmanov [48].

Grigorchuk’s construction was generalized, in [17], to an uncountable collection of groups G_ω , for $\omega \in \{0, 1, 2\}^\infty =: \Omega$. They are not anymore self-similar, but rather are related to each other by homomorphisms $\psi : G_\omega \rightarrow G_{\sigma\omega} \wr \mathbb{F}_2$, where $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is the one-sided shift. Interpret 0, 1, 2 as the three non-trivial homomorphisms $\mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. Then each G_ω is generated by $\mathbb{F}_4 \sqcup \{a\}$, and

$$\psi : \begin{cases} G_\omega & \rightarrow G_{\sigma\omega} \wr \mathbb{F}_2, \\ a & \mapsto ((1, 1), 1), \\ \mathbb{F}_4 \ni v & \mapsto ((a^{\omega(v)}, v), 0). \end{cases}$$

The “first” Grigorchuk group is then G_ω for $\omega = (012)^\infty$.

The structure of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$, based on calculations in [38], and of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)$, are described in [3], and more explicitly in [4, Theorem 3.5]. Note, however, some missing arrows in [4, Figure 2] between $\mathbb{1}^n(x^2)$ and $\mathbb{1}^{n+2}(x)$. Notice also that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)$ is neither just infinite nor centreless: its center is spanned by $\{W(x^2) \mid W \in \{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*\}$ and has finite codimension.

Recall the *upper central series* of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$: it is defined inductively by $\zeta_0 = 0$; by $\zeta_{n+1}/\zeta_n = \zeta(\mathcal{L}/\zeta_n)$; and by $\zeta_\omega = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega} \zeta_\alpha$. In particular, ζ_1 is the centre of $\mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 6.6. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}(G)$, $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)/\zeta(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G))$ and ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$ are isomorphic.*

The Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)/\zeta_\omega(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G))$ and $\mathcal{L}_G$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We recall from [4, Theorem 3.5] the following explicit description of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)$. Write $e = [a, b]$. A basis of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)$ is

$$\{a, b, d, [a, d]\} \cup \{W(e), W(e^2) \mid W \in \{0, 1\}^*\},$$

where a, b, d have degree 1; where $[a, d]$ has degree 2; and where $\deg(X_1 \dots X_n(e)) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i 2^{i-1} + 2^n = \frac{1}{2} \deg(X_1 \dots X_n(e^2))$. On that basis, the 2-mapping sends $W(e)$ to $W(e^2)$ and $1^n(e^2)$ to $1^{n+2}(e) + 1^{n+1}(e^2)$ and all other basis vectors to 0.

In particular, the centre of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)$ is spanned by $\{W(e^2) \mid W \notin \{1\}^*\}$, and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)/\zeta(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G))$ is centreless.

Note then the following isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)/\zeta(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G))$ and ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$. It sends $a, b, d, [d, a]$ to $a, b, d, [d, a]$ respectively; and $X_1 \dots X_n(e^s)$ to $x^{1-X_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{1-X_n} \otimes e^s$.

It follows that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G)/\zeta(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(G))$ admits the injective self-similarity structure (6), and therefore equals ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$. On the other hand, direct inspection shows that the self-similarity structure of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ equals that of ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$.

In the description of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$, the basis element $W(e^2)$ has degree $1 + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i 2^{i-1} + 2^{n+1}$. The centre of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ is spanned by the $\{1^n(e^2)\}$, and more generally $\zeta_k(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G))$ is spanned by the $\{X_1 \dots X_n(e^2) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i 2^{i-1} \geq 2^n - k\}$. It then follows that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)/\zeta_\omega(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G))$ has basis $\{a, b, d, [a, d]\} \cup \{W(e)\}$, and admits the injective self-similarity structure (6), so it equals $\mathcal{L}_G$. $\square$

Theorem 6.7. *The thinned algebras associated with G and $\mathcal{L}(G)$ are isomorphic: $\mathcal{A}(G) \cong \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}(G))$.*

Proof. The algebra $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is just infinite [5, Theorem 4.3], and has an explicit presentation

$$\mathcal{A}(G) = \langle A, B, C, D \mid \mathcal{R}_0, \sigma^n(CACACAC), \sigma^n(DACACAD) \text{ for all } n \geq 0 \rangle,$$

where $\sigma : \{A, B, C, D\}^* \rightarrow \{A, B, C, D\}^*$ is the substitution

$$A \mapsto ACA, \quad B \mapsto D, \quad C \mapsto B, \quad D \mapsto C$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \{A^2, B^2, C^2, D^2, B + C + D, BC, CB, BD, DB, CD, DC, DAD\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\psi(\sigma(w)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ w & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for all words $w \in \{A, B, C, D\}$ of length at least 2 and starting and ending in $\{B, C, D\}$; and that the relations $\mathcal{R}_0 \cup \{CACACAC, DACACAD\}$ are satisfied in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}(G))$. There exists therefore a homomorphism $\mathcal{A}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}(G))$, which must be an isomorphism because its image has infinite dimension. $\square$

6.4. The Gupta-Sidki group. Another important example of self-similar group was studied by Gupta and Sidki [19]. This group is defined as follows: it is self-similar; acts faithfully on X^* for $X = \mathbb{F}_3$; is generated by a, t ; and has self-similarity structure

$$(11) \quad \psi : \begin{cases} G \rightarrow G \wr \mathbb{F}_3, \\ a \mapsto ((1, 1, 1), 1), \\ t \mapsto ((a, a^{-1}, t), 0). \end{cases}$$

Gupta and Sidki prove that G is an infinite, finitely generated torsion 3-group.

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_3}(G)$ is described in [4, Theorem 3.8], where it is shown that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ has unbounded width.

Theorem 6.8. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}(G)$, $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_3}(G)$, $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{GS}$ are isomorphic.*

941 *Proof.* We recall from [4, Theorem 3.8] the following explicit description of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_p}(G)$.
 942 Write $c = [a, t]$ and $u = [a, c]$. Define the integers α_n by $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, and
 943 $\alpha_n = 2\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 3$. A basis of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_p}(G)$ is

$$\{a, t\} \cup \{W(c), W(u) \mid W \in \{0, 1, 2\}^*\},$$

944 where a, t have degree 1, and where $\deg(X_1 \dots X_n(c)) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \alpha_i + \alpha_{n+1} =$
 945 $\deg(X_1 \dots X_n(u)) - \alpha_{n+1}$. On that basis, the 3-mapping sends $2^n(c)$ to $2^n 0^2(c) +$
 946 $2^n 1(u)$ and all other basis vectors to 0.

947 In particular, $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{F}_3}(G)$ are isomorphic.

948 Note then the following isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ and ${}_2\mathcal{L}_G$. It sends a, t to
 949 a, t respectively; and $X_1 \dots X_n(b)$ to $x^{2-X_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{2-X_n} \otimes b$ for $b \in \{c, u\}$.

950 It follows that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ admits the injective self-similarity structure (5), and there-
 951 fore equals $\mathcal{L}_{GS}$. On the other hand, direct inspection shows that the self-similarity
 952 structure of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ equals that of $\mathcal{L}_{GS}$. $\square$

953 It is tempting to conjecture, in view of Theorem 6.7, that the associated graded
 954 $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \varpi^n / \varpi^{n+1}$ of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$; presumably, this could be proven
 955 by finding a presentation of $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

956 **6.5. From Lie algebras to groups.** We end with some purely speculative re-
 957 marks. Although we gave a construction of a Lie algebra starting from a self-similar
 958 group, this construction depends on several choices, in particular of a generating
 959 set for the group. Could it be that the resulting algebra $\mathcal{L}(G)$, or $\overline{\mathcal{L}(G)}$, is inde-
 960 pendent of such choices? Is $\overline{\mathcal{L}(G)}$ always isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^p(G)$?

961 On the other hand, I do not know of any “interesting” group to associate with
 962 a self-similar Lie algebra — that would, for example, be a torsion group if the Lie
 963 algebra is nil, or have subexponential growth if the Lie algebra has subexponential
 964 growth.

965 A naive attempt is the following. Consider the “Fibonacci” Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{2, \mathbb{F}_2}$
 966 from §4.5. Its corresponding self-similar group should have alphabet $X = \mathbb{F}_2$, and
 967 generators a, t with self-similarity structure

$$\psi : \begin{cases} G \rightarrow G \wr \mathbb{F}_2 \\ a \mapsto ((1, 1), 1), \\ t \mapsto ((a, at), 0), \end{cases}$$

968 at least up to commutators. That group can easily be shown to be contracting,
 969 and also regularly weakly branched on the subgroup $\langle [a, t, t] \rangle^G$. It has Hausdorff
 970 dimension $1/3$, and probably exponential growth.

971 More generally, are there subgroups of the units in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ that are worth inves-
 972 tigation, for $\mathcal{L}$ one of the Lie algebras from §4?

973 REFERENCES

- 974 [1] *The bible*, 1500BC.
 975 [2] Stanislav V. Alešin, *Finite automata and the Burnside problem for periodic groups*, Mat.
 976 *Zametki* **11** (1972), 319–328.
 977 [3] Laurent Bartholdi and Rostislav I. Grigorchuk, *Lie methods in growth of groups and groups*
 978 *of finite width*, Computational and geometric aspects of modern algebra (Edinburgh, 1998),
 979 London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 275, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000,
 980 pp. 1–27, available at [arXiv.org/abs/math/0002010](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0002010). MR1776763 (2001h:20046)
 981 [4] Laurent Bartholdi, *Lie algebras and growth in branch groups*, Pacific J. Math. **218** (2005),
 982 no. 2, 241–282, available at [arXiv.org/abs/math/0101222](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0101222). MR2218347

- 983 [5] ———, *Branch rings, thinned rings, tree enveloping rings*, Israel J. Math. **154** (2006), 93–
 984 139, available at [arXiv.org/math.RA/0410226](https://arxiv.org/math.RA/0410226). MR2254535
- 985 [6] Laurent Bartholdi and Illya Reznikov, *A Mealy machine with polynomial growth of*
 986 *irrational degree*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **18** (2008), no. 1, 1–24, available at
 987 [arXiv.org/abs/math/0506203](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0506203).
- 988 [7] William Burnside, *On an unsettled question in the theory of discontinuous groups*, Quart. J.
 989 Pure Appl. Math. **33** (1902), 230–238.
- 990 [8] A. Caranti and M. R. Vaughan-Lee, *Graded Lie algebras of maximal class. V*, Israel J. Math.
 991 **133** (2003), 157–175, DOI 10.1007/BF02773066. MR1968427 (2004d:17034)
- 992 [9] ———, *Graded Lie algebras of maximal class. IV*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)
 993 **29** (2000), no. 2, 269–312. MR1784176 (2002c:17044)
- 994 [10] A. Caranti and M. F. Newman, *Graded Lie algebras of maximal class. II*, J. Algebra **229**
 995 (2000), no. 2, 750–784, DOI 10.1006/jabr.2000.8316. MR1769297 (2001g:17041)
- 996 [11] A. Caranti, S. Mattarei, and M. F. Newman, *Graded Lie algebras of maximal class*, Trans.
 997 Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 10, 4021–4051, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-97-02005-9.
 998 MR1443190 (98a:17027)
- 999 [12] Jacek Fabrykowski and Narain D. Gupta, *On groups with sub-exponential growth functions*,
 1000 J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **49** (1985), no. 3-4, 249–256.
- 1001 [13] Evguenii S. Golod, *On nil-algebras and finitely approximable p -groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR
 1002 Ser. Mat. **28** (1964), 273–276.
- 1003 [14] Evguenii S. Golod and Igor R. Shafarevich, *On the class field tower*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR
 1004 Ser. Mat. **28** (1964), no. 2, 261–272 (Russian).
- 1005 [15] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk, *On Burnside’s problem on periodic groups*, Функционал. Анал. и
 1006 Приложен. **14** (1980), no. 1, 53–54. English translation: Functional Anal. Appl. **14** (1980),
 1007 41–43.
- 1008 [16] ———, *On the Milnor problem of group growth*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **271** (1983), no. 1,
 1009 30–33.
- 1010 [17] ———, *Degrees of growth of p -groups and torsion-free groups*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **126(168)**
 1011 (1985), no. 2, 194–214, 286.
- 1012 [18] ———, *Solved and unsolved problems around one group*, Infinite groups: geometric, combi-
 1013 natorial and dynamical aspects, Progr. Math., vol. 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005, pp. 117–218,
 1014 DOI 10.1007/3-7643-7447-0.5, (to appear in print). MR2195454 (2007d:20001)
- 1015 [19] Narain D. Gupta and Said N. Sidki, *On the Burnside problem for periodic groups*, Math. Z.
 1016 **182** (1983), 385–388.
- 1017 [20] Nathan Jacobson, *Restricted Lie algebras of characteristic p* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **50**
 1018 (1941), 15–25.
- 1019 [21] ———, *Lie algebras*, Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-
 1020 London, 1962. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 10.
- 1021 [22] G. Jurman, *Graded Lie algebras of maximal class. III*, J. Algebra **284** (2005), no. 2, 435–461,
 1022 DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.11.006. MR2114564 (2005k:17041)
- 1023 [23] Lev A. Kaloujnine, *Sur les p -groupes de Sylow du groupe symétrique du degré p^m* , C. R.
 1024 Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **221** (1945), 222–224.
- 1025 [24] ———, *Sur la structure des p -groupes de Sylow des groupes symétriques finis et de quelques*
 1026 *généralisations infinies de ces groupes*, Séminaire bourbaki, vol. 1, 1995, pp. Exp. No. 5, 29–
 1027 31.
- 1028 [25] G. P. Kukin, *Subalgebras of free Lie p -algebras*, Algebra i Logika **11** (1972), 535–550, 614
 1029 (Russian). MR0318251 (47 #6798)
- 1030 [26] T. H. Lenagan and Agata Smoktunowicz, *An infinite dimensional affine nil algebra with finite*
 1031 *Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 4, 989–1001 (electronic), DOI
 1032 10.1090/S0894-0347-07-00565-6. MR2328713 (2008f:16047)
- 1033 [27] Wilhelm Magnus, *Über Gruppen und zugeordnete Liesche Ringe*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **182**
 1034 (1940), 142–149.
- 1035 [28] John W. Milnor, *Problem 5603*, Amer. Math. Monthly **75** (1968), 685–686.
- 1036 [29] M. B. Nathanson, *Asymptotic density and the asymptotics of partition functions*, Acta Math.
 1037 Hungar. **87** (2000), no. 3, 179–195.
- 1038 [30] Volodymyr V. Nekrashevych, *Self-similar groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs,
 1039 vol. 117, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- 1040 [31] Vitaly I. Sushchansky and Nataliya V. Netreba, *Wreath product of Lie algebras and Lie al-*
 1041 *gebras associated with Sylow p -subgroups of finite symmetric groups*, Algebra Discrete Math.
 1042 **1** (2005), 122–132. MR2148825

- 1043 [32] Victor M. Petrogradskiĭ, *Intermediate growth in Lie algebras and their enveloping algebras*,
 1044 J. Algebra **179** (1996), no. 2, 459–482.
- 1045 [33] V. M. Petrogradsky, *Examples of self-iterating Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **302** (2006), no. 2,
 1046 881–886. MR2293788 (2008b:17029)
- 1047 [34] V. M. Petrogradsky and I. P. Shestakov, *Examples of self-iterating Lie algebras, 2*, J. Lie
 1048 Theory **19** (2009), no. 4, 697–724.
- 1049 [35] Cristina Di Pietro, *Wreath products and modular Lie algebras*, Università degli Studi di
 1050 L'Aquila, 2005.
- 1051 [36] Daniel G. Quillen, *On the associated graded ring of a group ring*, J. Algebra **10** (1968), 411–
 1052 418.
- 1053 [37] D. M. Riley, *Restricted Lie algebras of maximal class*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **59** (1999),
 1054 no. 2, 217–223, DOI 10.1017/S0004972700032834. MR1680807 (2000d:17023)
- 1055 [38] Alexander V. Rozhkov, *Lower central series of a group of tree automorphisms*, Mat. Zametki
 1056 **60** (1996), no. 2, 225–237, 319.
- 1057 [39] Elizabeth A. Scott, *A construction which can be used to produce finitely presented infinite
 1058 simple groups*, J. Algebra **90** (1984), no. 2, 294–322, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(84)90172-8.
 1059 MR760011 (86f:20029a)
- 1060 [40] I. P. Shestakov and E. Zelmanov, *Some examples of nil Lie algebras*, J. Eur. Math. Soc.
 1061 (JEMS) **10** (2008), no. 2, 391–398. MR2390328
- 1062 [41] Said N. Sidki, *A primitive ring associated to a Burnside 3-group*, J. London Math. Soc. (2)
 1063 **55** (1997), no. 1, 55–64.
- 1064 [42] Said N. Sidki, *Functionally recursive rings of matrices—two examples*, J. Algebra **322** (2009),
 1065 no. 12, 4408–4429, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2009.09.021. MR2558870
- 1066 [43] Alfred L. Šmel'kin, *Wreath products of Lie algebras, and their application in group theory*,
 1067 Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsč. **29** (1973), 247–260 (Russian). Collection of articles commemo-
 1068 rating Aleksandr Gennadievich Kuroš. MR0379612 (52 #517)
- 1069 [44] Frances E. Sullivan, *Wreath products of Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **35** (1985), no. 1,
 1070 95–104. MR772163 (86h:17002)
- 1071 [45] V. I. Sushchanskiĭ and N. V. Netreba, *Lie algebras associated with Sylow p -subgroups of finite
 1072 symmetric groups*, Mat. Stud. **24** (2005), no. 2, 127–138 (Russian, with English and Russian
 1073 summaries). MR2223999 (2007c:17026)
- 1074 [46] V. P. Zarovnyi, *On the group of automatic one-to-one mappings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR
 1075 **156** (1964), 1266–1269 (Russian). MR0172806 (30 #3024)
- 1076 [47] ———, *On the theory of infinite linear and quasilinear automata*, Kibernetika (Kiev) **4**
 1077 (1971), 5–17 (Russian, with English summary). MR0304111 (46 #3246)
- 1078 [48] Efim I. Zel'manov, *Talk at the ESF conference on algebra and discrete mathematics "Group
 1079 Theory: from Finite to Infinite"*, 1996. Castelvechio Pascoli.

1080 LAURENT BARTHOLDI, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, GEORG-AUGUST UNIVERSITÄT ZU GÖTTINGEN
 1081 E-mail address: laurent.bartholdi@gmail.com